Proposed Oil and Gas Lease Sale in the Diapir Field (Sale 71)

Public Hearings

Barrow

1982

1	BEFORE THE UNITED STATES BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT		
2	DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR		
3	ALASKA OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF OFFICE		
4			
5	<pre>In re: Draft Environmental Impact) Statement on proposed oil)</pre>		
6	and gas leasing in the) Diapir Field (proposed sale 71).)		
7)		
8	Hearing date: February 2, 1982		
9	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS		
10	ESTHER WUNNICKE, Hearing Officer		
11			
12	Presbyterian Chruch		
13	Barrow, Alaska February 2, 1982		
14	1:30 o'clock p.m.		
15	APPEARANCES:		
16	FOR THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE: GERALD REID		
17	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service		
18	1011 East Tudor Road Anchorage, Alaska 99503		
19	FOR THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT: RALPH AINGER		
20	Bureau of Land Management 18th and "C" Streets N.W.		
21	Washington, D.C. 20240		
22	FOR THE MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE: BARRY BOUDREAU		
23	Minerals Management Service Post Office Box 259		
24	Anchorage, Alaska 99510		

R & R COURT REPORTERS

* * * *

25

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2	1.	Opening Statement by Hearing Officer	Page	3
3	WITNESS	ES:		
4	1.	Othniel Oomittuk		6
5	2.	Lee Gervert		13
6	3.	Charlie Edwardsen		18
7	4.	Noah Itta		23
8	5.	Delbert Rexford		30
9	6.	Kersman F. Peetook		34
10	7.	Arnold Brower, Jr.		. 38
11	8.	Ben Nungasak		44
12	9.	Johnny Aiken		47
13	10.	Ross Ahngasuk		50
14	11.	Thomas S. Nusunginya		57
15	12.	Kenneth Toovak		60
16	13.	Isaac Kayutak		65
17	14.	Lloyd Ahvakana		71
18	15.	Daniel Leavitt	,	74
19	16.	Horace Ahsogeak		82
20	17.	Hugo Engel		85
21	18.	Warren Matumeak		91
22	19.	Barbara Bodenhorn		95
23	20.	Ida Olemaun		109
24	21.	Robert Harcharek		112
25	22.	George Edwardson		121

1	23.	Fred Bahr	127
2	24.	Dorcas Maupin	128
3	25.	Ronald H. Brower	132
4	26.	Michael I. Jeffrey	134
5	27.	Annie Brower	147
6	28.	Joash Tukle	152
7	29.	Charlie Hobson	162
8	30.	Jeffrey Maupin	169
9	31.	Arnold Brower	172
10	32.	Mary Edwardsen	175
11	33.	Don Lee Leavitt	176
12	34.	Delbert Rexford	177
13	35.	Annie Brower	179
14	36.	Joash Tukle	182
15		* * *	

R & R COURT REPORTERS

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

H.O.: I'd like to welcome you to a hearing that's being conducted by the Department of the Interior. Esther Wunnicke, manager of the Alaska Outer Continental Shelf Office, and I've been designated to chair the hearing. purpose of the hearing is to receive views and comments and suggestions relating to the draft environmental impact statement on the proposed oil and gas lease offering in the Diapir Field in the Beaufort Sea. This document was prepared by the Bureau of Land Management in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act, and this hearing is for the purpose of providing the opportunity to receive comments from public and private representatives in order to fully evaluate the potential effects of a proposed lease offering in the Diapir Field on the human, coastal and marine environments in this area. There are hearing\$ also to be held this week in Nuiqsut, Kaktovik, Fairbanks and The official reporter for the hearing is Susan Anchorage. Million, who is seated at my left, to your right. She'll be making a verbatim transcript of the hearing, and everything that is spoken while the hearing is in session will be recorded by her. So to assure a complete and accurate record of the hearing, it's necessary that only one person speak at a time and that the rest of us remain as quiet as possible while that person is speaking. This is not an adversary proceeding, the parties presenting their views are not under oath, but the presentation

R & R COURT REPORTERS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

25

should be relevant and should be supported by pertinent data. The speakers will be questioned only if a member of the hearing panel wishes to clarify facts or to obtain additional information, and any questions that might be asked by members of the panel should not be construed as indicating any predetermined The purpose of the hearing is for us to receive information and not to exchange views. The members of the panel are present to obtain as complete an understanding as possible of all of the views of interested parties. They're not present for the purpose of answering either factual or policy questions. The speakers will be called in the order in which they have been registered with Mrs. Yoesting at the back of the room, and if a speaker is not present when his or her name is called, then that name will be recalled at the end of the hearing list. But anyone who wishes to speak, whether or not they have already registered, should make themselves known to Mrs. Yoesting and -- and get on the list. We are trying to limit all the oral presentations to ten minutes, and as you begin your presentation we would appreciate very much your beginning your presentation with your name and address and occupation, and if you are representing a group or an agency or a corporation, to give us the name of the -- of the group that you represent. If you have a prepared copy, written copy of your testimony, we'd appreciate having a copy for the use of -- of the reporter. But whether or not you have a written copy, your remarks will be recorded as

23

24

25

they are given. We would also ask you to give three copies of any prepared testimony to Ms. Gottlieb, who is seated here in the front row. She is the chief of our environmental assessment division in the Alaska OCS Office, and that will assist her staff in incorporating your comments and responding to them in the final environmental impact statement that will be published as a sequel to this. If, after you've testified, you wish to submit additional written testimony, please provide that material also and it will be marked as an exhibit. Even though you may not have read it into the record, it will become a part of the complete record. The Department of the Interior will also accept written comments and statements from parties who prefer not to testify orally but to make their testimony in written form or supplemental testimony to what you may have given here, and you may address those comments and statements to me, to the manager of the Alaska OCS Office, Bureau of Land Management, Post Office Box 1159, Anchorage, Alaska, 99510. And we seem to be the -- one of the first participants in the nine-digit zip 995101159. You have until February 12th to submit those written comments and statements, and all written comments and statements that are received on time will be included, as I say, as a part of the hearing record and will be given the same consideration as oral statements that are presented at the hearings. However, because of the shortness of our schedule, comments that are received after February 12th, unfortunately,

23

24

25

cannot be a part of that hearing record. You may obtain copies of the transcript by making arrangements with the court reporter. What we intend to do today is to proceed until approximately three o'clock, take a brief break, reconvene, proceed until five o'clock, take a brief break, reconvene, proceed until seven, at which time we'll take an hour break and return at eight o'clock to hear people this evening who may not be able to come during the afternoon hours. I would request that there be no display of approval or disapproval or applause from the audience while the hearing is going on. I'd like to introduce my fellow panel members. To my far right is Mr. Jerry Reid, who is representing the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and also representing the Assistant Secretary for Parks, Wildlife -for Fish, Wildlife and Parks, I'm sorry. On my immediate right is Mr. Ralph Ainger, representing the Bureau of Land Management from Washington, D.C., and also representing the Assistant Secretary for Land and Water. And to my left is Mr. Barry Boudreau, representing the Minerals Management Service. we begin, do any of the panel members wish to make a statement? All right. The first name I have listed is Mr. Othniel Oomittuk Yes.

MR. OOMITTUK:

H.O.: Okay.

COURT REPORTER: Could you have everyone spell their names, please?

H.O.: It would help the recorder if you would

R & R COURT REPORTERS

spell your name, also, please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. OOMITTUK: Good afternoon, panel. My name is Othniel Oomittuk, O-t-h-n-i-e-1, O-o-m-i-t-t-u-k.

H.O.: Thank you.

MR. OOMITTUK: I am the Deputy Director of the North Slope Borough Conservation and Environmental Protection Office. I am pleased to be here today and present the views of our department concerning the Diapir Field draft environmental impact statement and proposed oil and gas lease sale 71. testimony we will direct our comments toward the special concern\$ of our department, the environment and its conservation and The environment and its conservation and protection protection. Our testimony is divided into several parts. First, we will present some general comments about the proposed action, sale 71, and our recommendation to delay the sale. Second, we will discuss the go -- go -- go-ahead alternatives, number four, five, six and seven. Next, we will present our views on cumulative impacts and seasonal drilling limits, followed by our conclu-Delay the sale: First, we would like to note that we think this draft environmental impact statement is an improvement over the 1979 Beaufort Sea draft environmental impact statement. To us, though, the conclusion one reaches after analyzing this document is even more definite than in the case of the 1979 document, and that conclusion is this: The environmental impacts of the proposed action are so large and so serious that the only

24

25

safe course of action is to adopt alternate two or alternate three, which cancel the sale or delay the sale. Since we are convinced that exploration or development in the Beaufort Sea cannot be safely conducted in the future, we believe that alternate three, delay the sale, is the prudent course of action that the government should take. We do not agree with the draft environmental impact statement conclusion that the delay will only postpone impacts. While this is undoubtedly true in general, logic tells us that technology improvements should take place during such a delay, so as to reduce certain impacts. example, we have no reason to doubt the oil industry's promise that they will be even better at oil spill prevention and cleanup in the future compared to now, or in 1980 compared to 1985, for example. Also, our understanding of endangered species, particularly the bowhead, will be better after a delay. impacts to the bowhead from the proposed action may be less or more after a delay, but certainly we will have a better understanding of those impacts, and we certainly -- we will be able to plan better, we of the local government, as well as state and federal government and industries. Second, with respect to delaying the sale, we would like to note that many of the concerns raised in the 1979 Beaufort D.E.I.S. hearings are still largely unresolved. These same concerns were also raised in the recent roun d of hearings conducted by the Alaska Departmental -- Department of Natural Resources concerning seasonal drilling

limits in the Beaufort Sea, whether these limits should be 1 dropped, extended or modified. Of special concern to the Envi-2 3 ronmental Protection Office are the following: Number one, oil spills; two, noise; number three, ice hazards; and four, arctic 4 5 weather and climate. The last two items are aspects of our North Slope environment, and our concern has to do with the oil 6 7 industry's ability to deal -- ability to deal with them. 8 example, preventing oil spill or preventing the timely and effi-9 cient clean-up of an oil spill after it has secured. (ph) Both 10 oil spills and noise, as by-product of the proposed action, 11 effect our environment, principally the bowhead and other marine 12 mammals and the marine and coastal environment in general. 13 concerns of ours and the impact of the proposed actions are 14 well-documented in the Diapir draft environmental impact state-15 ment, and the only prudent action, we believe, is to delay the 16 sale. Al -- Alternatives: The North Slope Borough Environmental 17 Protection Office believes that alternatives four, five, six or 18 seven to the proposed action are inadequate, in the event alter-19 native three is not chosen. These alternatives individually 20 identify groups of blocks which if deleted could reduce impacts. 21 Specifically, we note from the D.E.I.S. that if alternate four 22 was chosen there would be no reduction in estimated reserves. 23 Since that is the case, and since alternative four would delete 24 blocks where ice hazards are high, we request that this alterna-25 tive be combined with all other alternatives, that is, these

25

blocks should be deleted regardless of what action is finally taken. Alternative six also involve blocks where few reserves are estimated, only ninety million barrels of oil. Therefore. for the same reason that applied to alternative four, the blocks in alternative six should be deleted regard -- regardless of what action is finally taken. Finally, both alternative five and six involve significant environmental impacts, so the deletion of the blocks involved here would be wise, in our view. This is especially true in the case of alternative five, the Cape Halkett deletion, as noted in the D.E.I.S. In addition, there are non-environmental reasons for deleting the blocks of alternative six, such as those related -- relating to exploration and development in the area far removed from the existing Prudhoe and Kuparak area. Cumulative impacts: Cumulative environmental impacts are extremely important to our department. In fact, we believe that without including them an analysis of the impacts of the proposed action by itself is meaningless. We feel that if cumulative impacts of a particular type are unacceptable, then the impacts from the proposed action of that particular type are unacceptable by definition. For example, quoting from page 175, "Even though the proposed exploratory activities from sale 71 would be -- only contribute a minor portion of the total impact -- total cumulative impact." To the bowhead, if these cumulative impacts are unacceptably high, then the impacts of sale 71 are also unacceptably high by definition.

25

The view of the Environmental Protection Office on this issue may be considered unduly conservative, but we know of no other way to prevent cumulative impacts. In fact, we believe the final E.I.S. should contain a strengthened and highlighted treatment of cumulative impacts, compared to that presented in the draft E.I.S. Seasonal drilling limits: If our recommendation of alternate three is not chosen, we would urge that seasonal drilling limits be imposed as a stipulation. As we recently testified before the state Department of Natural Resources, we believe these limits must continue for two basic reasons: one, spring or fall oil spill can be (sic) cleaned up effectively, and, number two, bowhead whales may be severely impacted, especially in the fall. In conclusion, the risk of oil spill is high in the Beaufort Sea. In fact, we feel they may be higher than presented in the draft environmental impact statement, especially if the experience in harsh environments such as the North Sea and the Canadian Beaufort was drawn on to calculate oil spill rates, rather than O.C.S. operation in temperate latitude such as the Gulf of Mexico. These risks are compounded by the difficulties in cleaning up oil spill in the arctic marine environment, especially during breakup or freeze-up. The most significant impact from oil spill and from such things as noise generated by industry activity will be felt by the bowhead whale. There will be the direct impact on the whale itself and the indirect impact on the Inupiat Eskimo culture, as

others are testifying to in these hearings, if sale 71 proceeds. The North Slope Borough Environmental Protection Office therefore requests that the sale be delayed; that is, alternative three should be adopted. In addition, we request that seasonal drilling limits in the Beaufort Sea be continued, at the very least in the critical fall period. This complete our testimony. We thank you for the opportunity to present the views of the North Slope Borough Environmental Protection Office.

H.O.: Thank you, Mr. Oomittuk. Any questions or comments to Mr. Oomittuk?

MR. BOUDREAU: Yes, I -- I have one question. Could you expand on your recommendation for a seasonal drilling restriction as to what time period you have in mind for that recommendation?

MR. OOMITTUK: I think the -- the season drilling that was adopted for a two-year period should be continued...

MR. BOUDREAU: Consistent with the (ph)...

MR. OOMITTUK: ...without any state change.

MR. BOUDREAU: ... Beaufort -- joint Beaufort sale?

MR. OOMITTUK: Right.

MR. BOUDREAU: Same restrictions?

MR. OOMITTUK: Right.

MR. BOUDREAU: Thank you.

H.O.: Any other questions? Thank you very much.

I would -- would say at this time that we have present Mrs. Alice

Solomon, who is available to interpret for anyone who wishes to testify in Inupiat. We would request that most testimony be given in English, but if -- if you must testify in Inupiat, Mrs. Solomon will be happy to translate for you. Did Mr. Gefvert come in? Okay. Mr. Lee Gefvert?

LEE GEFVERT

testified as follows:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GEFVERT: Here's a fourth copy, if you want to see it.

H.O.: Okay. Thank you.

Madam Chairman and Panel Members: MR. GEFVERT: My name is Lee Gefvert. I am here to testify on behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company in support of the proposed Sale 71 in the Diapir Field. I work for the ARCO Exploration Company as an engineer in special projects supporting exploration activities. During the ten years I have lived in Alaska, I have worked fulltime in offshore operational and engineering areas. I have been employed by ARCO Exploration Company and its prior companies for thirty-two years and have worked continuously in the oil industry since 1946, specializing in oil and gas producing operations and supporting engineering. Atlantic Richfield Company congratulates the Bureau of Land Management on the material presented in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Sale Number 71 in the Diapir Field. In comparison to earlier draft environmental impact statements for

R & R COURT REPORTERS

23

24

25

sales in the Alaska OCS, considerable progress has been shown in clarity of presentation of worst case environmental situations, and also in the presentation of average case situations in the different areas of the Sale 71. In the interest of further clarifying the impact statement, Atlantic Richfield Company has several comments about the presentation of oil spill data. suggests that the published oil spill statistics for the Cook Inlet during the 1971-1981 period be tabulated in the final environmental impact statement. These statistics would show those readers who are not trained statisticians the level of performance of industry in the ice infested environment of the Cook Inlet in minimizing the number and size of oil spills. These statistics would show industry spillage of less than one barrel per million barrels of crude oil produced during a production history of over 530,000,000 barrels of crude oil. tabulation would also show that the average spill had a volume of less than five barrels or 200 gallons. The industry is proud of this record and is working steadily to improve it The published oil spill statistics of the Prudhoe Bay further. oil field should also be tabulated even though it is an on-land These statistics would show the casual reader that operation. one barrel of oil is spilled per 3.8 million barrels of oil produced and that the average spill has a volume of less than eight barrels or 325 gallons. These statistics cover a production history of 1 billion, 800 million barrels of crude oil.

24

25

ARCO believes that these statistics are representative of what might be anticipated in oil field production in the Beaufort The Prudhoe Bay oil field operation is from gravel pads located on a sea of tundra which is analagous to gravel islands in the Beaufort Sea. The oil spill statistics from exploratory wells drilled in American OCS waters in the last ten years also has a very commendable record. Since oil produced in the Beaufort Sea would be transported by the Trans Alaska Pipeline System and its associated tankers, ARCO feels that only their oil spill record should be used to determine transportation This system has handled over two billion barrels to hazards. date, producing a commendable record relative to oil spills. The industry thrust in training, equipment and operational procedures is to not have oil spills. There is a saying in the industry that the most expensive oil that the industry produces is that oil which is spilled. In the unlikely event there is an oil spill, industry has state of the art equipment stored in the ABSORB warehouse on the North Slope at Deadhorse ready for use. Over five million barrels have been spent to date for equipment, in training and in the development of techniques that are compatible with the environment to cope with an oil spill situation in every season of the year. I next want to talk about the proposed drilling season restriction. ARCO recognizes that the bowhead whale needs to be protected during the westward migration in September and October, since its migration route

24

25

skirts the northern edge of the proposed Sale 71 area. Evidence from opera- -- observations made in whale behavior in the Canadian Beaufort Sea show that noise emanating from a non-moving source at levels associated with drilling activity does not significantly disturb them. The only purpose of a drilling restriction in the OCS Sale 71 area is to protect the bowhead whale in the very unlikely event that an oil spill of a significant size will occur at the same time as the bowhead whale passes by the area proposed to be leased. The capability of the bowhead whale to avoid an oil slick is currently unknown, even though it is known that they are very adept at navigating in an ice The bowhead whale's level of tolerance for spilled infested sea. crude oil is also unknown at the current time. If scientific evidence exists to support the drilling restriction, Atlantic Richfield Company wants whatever drilling restriction imposed to be in place for a finite period of perhaps two years. At that time the restriction should be reviewed in light of knowledge that is now being accumulated at an accelerated pace on this elusive animal. It is hoped that information on the spilled oil avoidance and tolerance to spilled crude oil by the bowhead can be found to be such that any restriction can be allowed to end. As stated earlier, the purpose of the restriction is to protect the bowhead in the event of a significant oil spill. obviously not a purpose of the restriction to eliminate all drilling during this time period. Atlantic Richfield Company

22

23

24

25

strongly feels that whatever drilling restriction is imposed, that it should also be flexible in nature. An example of flexibility is to be allowed to continue to drill to a depth above an expected hydrocarbon horizon during the drilling restriction period after the first exploratory well in the area has defined a target horizon. This flexibility can be accomplished on a case by case basis administratively through the U.S.G.S. without compromising the proprietary nature of the data. are other examples as well that could be administratively worked through the U.S.G.S. that would serve to reduce the financial impact of a drilling restriction without impacting the purpose of the proposed drilling restriction. On technology: The technology has been developed to safely drill and produce all of the selected tracts in the sale area. Giant strides in the application of the developed technology is being made in the Canadian Beaufort Sea. Dome Petroleum is currently drilling from a gravel island installed in water about 75 feet deep. This approaches the maximum depth of water found in the proposed lease sale. And for your reference, the name of the island is Tarsuit, T-a-r-s-u-i-t. In summary, the Atlantic Richfield Company feels that the oil industry can safely operate in the entire OCS Sale 71 area with no tract deletions and without significant harm to the environment. If a drilling restriction is required, it should be flexible in nature and of a finite length. This flexibility should be available for minimum

1	disruption to the oil industry while still providing protection		
2	to the endangered bowhead whale. I want to thank the panel for		
3	this opportunity to address it on these matters of concern to		
4	Atlantic Richfield Company and am available to answer your		
5	questions. Thank you.		
6	H.O.: Thank you, Mr. Gefvert.		
7	MR. REID: Yeah, I have		
8	H.O.: Mr. Reid.		
9	MR. REID:I have a question, Mr. Gefvert.		
10	On the on the proposed proposed seasonal drilling stipu-		
11	lation, which is September 1 through October 31st, do I under-		
12	stand that ARCO feels that that's not quite flexible enough		
13	and would like to have this non-hydrocarbon zone drilling to		
14	continue during that period?		
15	MR. GEFVERT: Yes, within that two month period.		
16	MR. REID: Okay. Thank you.		
17	H.O.: Any other questions? Okay. Thank you		
18	very much.		
19	MR. GEFVERT: You're welcome.		
20	H.O.: Mr. Charlie Edwardsen. Thank you for		
21	waiting.		
22	CHARLIE EDWARDSEN		
23	testified as follows:		
24	MR. EDWARDSEN: My name is Charlie Edwardsen,		
25			

Senior, from Point Barrow. And all the -- all the facts that ${\tt I}$

25

-- that my -- my brother had spoke well about it, but some -some felt that -- like what they said, that they can build an ice island out there and drill on it. But they can build an ice island -- an ice island, but I think the ice island can't (indiscernible) ice -- ice islands even built out there. You -- you've heard about the ice island that ARCO built before. It just crumbled. And -- and I think that's not very -- not very safe. And see, like we heard about the oil spills they had, what amount. That's -- that's crude oil. And they didn't -- he didn't even mention the oil spills in diesel they had. was trapping up there. I was under ARCO. I was trapping. -- they hired me to trap and I trapped. And I saw a tanker tip over alongside the road. I don't know how many thousands, maybe a couple -- five thousand gallon tanker. It tipped right over right down by the dock. They didn't get all that oil out of the ground. They didn't even burn it right there. It was in the fall time, during ship season. (ph) And it went -- it -- the water took it out. It was pretty rainy. Most of it went out -- on out the bay. And also, I was -- when I was trapping up at Foggy Island, I don't know what company was that, they had platters, big platters on the -- on the beach, and them platters was full. And one guy with a dozer came along and he ripped the end off the platter. And this -- instead of reporting it, he covered it up, and I wonder how much of that platter went out. And like that, see, are birds, are ducks. There won't be any

14 15

13

17

18

16

19

2021

22

2324

25

ducks left in this country. See, the -- the ducks migrate and the birds migrate clear to Japan. And now the Japan -- Japanese -- some of these (indiscernible) say, "We don't seen any more brands." It's gonna be all gone down in there. And the -- the nesting areas of wild -- wild flower -- fowls, like the eider ducks, they nest on islands where there's sand. Eider ducks don't hardly They nest on -- on sand. And see, we won't nest on ground. be able to see them if they start -- they won't -- they won't be nesting there any more. They'll find a place -- some place to nest or they'll be gone, too. Like the stellar (ph) eiders and the phalaropes (ph), they're gone, and I think Fish and Game ought to know about it, if they study it, about 'em. There used to -- this shoreline used to be covered with stellar eiders along the shore from coast to coast. I haven't even seen them.

H.O.: What was the other, besides the (simultaneous speech)...

MR. EDWARDSEN: Phalarope. (ph). There used to be millions. You don't hardly see them any more. The whole shoreline used to be covered every time in the fall. And you don't see them inland where they nest. And that's a lot of things -- what I think happened. And also they -- the salmon. The oil comes -- are using some of -- a chem- -- some chemical. If they have an oil spill, they'll -- they'll have it with their drilling mud. That's -- that'll -- that'll sink the oil down, crude oil down. And once that -- once they -- if they use

24

25

that chemical, that's gonna -- I don't think there will be any shrimps or no fish, and that is -- the current takes -- takes -- carries that all over. And our current goes clear -- clear out to (indiscernible) Greenland and goes right down to this Pacific Ocean, like that ice island we had, and that's how far the current moves around. And I'm real concerned of the game that's on this -- on this continent, and also the -- on the -where -- where the -- where the fish is. And our biggest industry for the people of the United States and us around in Alaska, I think, is fish. If we lose that, I don't know what we're gonna live on, especially around in the Arctic. We've got nothing to chew on. That's right. We don't -- we -- the only -- the only depth of thaw we get is about six -- six inches on tundra. Can you plant any potatoes on that? Can you plant anything on that, on something like that, in just about two months of summer long. And -- and I'm real concerned about what's going on, drilling offshore. I -- I think if they -- if they're figuring on drilling, they ought to build a pad, where they mention right here, on sixteen to a hundred and thirty feet, see what's gonna happen with it. And I think it's just gonna go under ice. The ice -- the ice flows so tremendous. It's not like the ice down where -- where they're drilling right now. That ice is just -- just a thin layer of ice, and anybody -- any ice breaker or any -- they can't tip that drilling rig over. That's -- that's not very -- that hasn't -- not hardly any

pressure. Around here -- I'm -- I'm gonna mention a station we had -- we build. This place had a concrete floor and steel piling. It's inside of the Beaufort Sea up here. They call it Pile (ph) 3. We build that building. I drilled the pilings. They were 16 feet deep, 8-inch steel, and a cement floor. Inside the Barrier Islands the ice came and knocked that floor off. That's how much pressure, just inside the Barrier Island...

H.O.: Where was it located?

MR. EDWARDSEN: Pile -- Pile 3. That's Loman (ph) Point. And we build that building, and I was on it, drilling the -- the pilings on there, 16 feet. And -- and these -- the floor was cemented right onto these pilings, but they didn't hold the ice, thin -- thin ice. And I guess they might have (indiscernible) of it, too.

H.O.: When did this happen? When did that...

MR. EDWARDSEN: I don't know what -- what year it really happened, but I've seen a show on it. And that way -- see, he mentioned that every -- everything sounds real good. And how about them two rigs down in Norway, got tipped over? What do -- what do you think of them two? They tipped -- just a storm, no ice. (indiscernible) the current caved away the -- the construction on the bottom and the wind tipped 'em over. And I think it's -- the ocean is a hazard, myself, because I -- I've been up here all my life and I know the pressure of the ice. When it start moving in the -- in the springtime, there's

24

25

nothing can stop it. And there -- also there's not a piece that's solid bigger than maybe 40 by 100. It's all -- it all crumbles, and you can hear it just like thunder when the wind is blowing.

And there's no man can stand that. I -- I'd like to see -- I -- I'll call it a suicide case if they were -- run into that.

Thank you.

H.O.: Thank you, Mr. Edwardsen. You might want to talk with Mr. Gefvert, because I think that the ice island you were speaking of was one that was -- got built by Exxon rather than ARCO, so...

MR. EDWARDSEN: ARCO. (ph)

H.O.: And as I understood, Mr. Gefvert's testimony had to do with gravel islands.

MR. EDWARDSEN: Um-hum. Gravel -- gravel islands, they can try that, too, but -- but if the ice comes, it'll -- if it don't shear it, it'll go over, it'll go over. If it's not big enough, it'll come right over it. And the ice -- where there's a lot of pressure, the ice will pile up at least maybe 150 to 200 feet high and it keeps going. And that -- that's how -- how it is. When that ice starts moving, there's a lot of ice, and it don't slow down either. Thank you.

H.O.: Thank you. Any other questions or comments to Mr. Edwardsen? Thank you, Mr. Edwardsen. Noah Itta?

NOAH ITTA

testified as follows:

21

22

23

24

25

have help.

name, please?

MR. ITTA: My name is Noah Itta, but I gotta

H.O.: All right. Will you spell your last

MR. ITTA: I-t-t-a.

H.O.: Are you Brenda's father?

MR. ITTA: Yes.

H.O.: Okay. Go ahead with your testimony and then Mrs. Solomon will translate for us.

(Mr. Itta and Mrs. Solomon speak Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He, Noah Itta, is concerned and wants to find out what this hearing is really -- what it really means, because he has heard about the -- the people that want to start drilling and wants to stop whaling, and he is concerned about the drilling and he wants to find out just what this hearing is all about. In the past they have been told before about the whale -- whaling that is being, you know, dangered, I think.

H.O.: Endangered species?

MRS. SOLOMON: For whaling, yes.

H.O.: Um-hum. Can you explain to him that it's -- it's a hearing to take any knowledge that he has that would help us to do a better job in putting before the Secretary of the Interior the risks of -- of offshore leasing with respect to the animals or the people?

1	(Mrs. Solomon and Mr. Itta speak Inupiat)
2	MRS. SOLOMON: He wants to find out if this is
3	just account of whaling about the whaling or if it's about th
4	drilling.
5	H.O.: It's about proposed offshore drilling in
6	the Harrison Bay area, and and this document, what we're
7	taking testimony in, tries to set forth the consequences, if
8	if it is decided to go ahead and lease in that area.
9	MRS. SOLOMON: If you could make it shorter.
10	I can't remember
11	H.O.: I know. I'm sorry.
12	MRS. SOLOMON:all of that.
13	H.O.: Okay.
14	MRS. SOLOMON: If you'll repeat?
15	H.O.: Has he has he had this document
16	explained to him or has he looked at it at all?
17	(Mrs. Solomon and Mr. Itta speak Inupiat)
18	MRS. SOLOMON: He just wants to find out what
19	if if they really are deciding to drill.
20	H.O.: This this is what helps the Secretary
21	of the Interior decide whether or not to drill or whether or
22	not to delay drilling, or or how to offer leases for drilling
23	That's what the purpose of this is.
24	(Mrs. Solomon speaks Inupiat)

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MRS}}\xspace$. SOLOMON: If I miss anything, anybody who

25

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

understands (simultaneous speech)...

H.O.: Mrs. Solomon...

MRS. SOLOMON: ...if I leave some interesting parts out.

H.O.: Mrs. Solomon, you might say to him that what would be most helpful to us, if he has knowledge from his own experience or -- or from other sources that would be helpful to the Secretary in making up his mind whether to lease and where to lease for oil, that would be very helpful from Mr. Itta

(Mrs. Solomon and Mr. Itta speak Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said (speaks Inupiat) -- excuse me. (laughter) Excuse me.

H.O.: That's okay. Not much I can understand..

(Mrs. Solomon and Mr. Itta speak Inupiat)

H.O.: I wish I could understand you.

MRS. SOLOMON: I'm reading my translation. I was supposed to have somebody here to translate (simultaneous speech). He said when he learned about drilling, the first time he learned, he didn't know -- he never heard about it when he was living -- he lived in Barrow and also east, a hundred miles away from Barrow, when he was small. There were hardly any white people at that time. And he said when he was growing up he was -- they were having hard time of making living meet, you know, just living on hunting and all that. He said their parents had hardship in those years. And later on when he got --

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

was able to work, got older, then the white people came and started offering better -- better jobs -- I mean jobs, and living -- living got easier (indiscernible), and they were given some jobs, and the white people, some of them were willing to help the Eskimo people out.

(Mr. Itta speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said that these last few years he has problem now working because of -- he's not retired yet -- I mean retiring age, but he's got poor health. Because of his health, he's having hard time trying to make things meet. He said this thing started after the pipeline got built. Every -- everything has gone up in prices, prices have gone -- skyrocketed on anything. He is wondering if -- if it's for the pipeline that the prices have gone up or if it's for the drilling rigs that are high-priced. Are they trying to make them pay for these by making prices go -- go so high in every -- in everything? He -- he is -- he is saying that the Native people need white gas to go anywhere to hunt, because they don't use dog teams anymore. And the white gas is three to -- three to four gallons, is....

H.O.: Three to four dollars a gallon? Three to four dollars a gallon?

MR. ITTA: Yes.

MRS. SOLOMON: Three to four dollars?

MR. ITTA: Yeah.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MRS. SOLOMON: White gas is three to four dollars a gallon, and they can't resist from buying gas because it's the only way of traveling with their Skidoos that they can go hunting.

H.O.: So his concern is that additional drilling would -- would bring more change and higher prices with respect to his way of life, is that correct?

(Mrs. Solomon and Mr. Itta speak Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He is -- that is the reason he is against drilling to go on offshore on sea or ice. And he feels the prices will be that much higher if there should be an accident and because of -- drilling <u>rigs</u> (ph), they have accidents with their equipment. I think that's what he means. Then there will be, depending on people -- on prices. He's still talking about the...

H.O.: Um-hum.

MRS. SOLOMON: ...prices of everything going up.

H.O.: Um-hum.

(Mr. Itta speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said since -- since the drilling started, they -- the Alaska tax was taken off. He -- he feels that has helped him, when the Alaska tax was exempted.

H.O.: The Alaska income tax.

(Mr. Itta speaks Inupiat)

R & R COURT REPORTERS

MRS. SOLOMON: He said even though they are fighting against drilling, the oil companies are still going ahead with that, with the drilling for oil. He is wondering, if the oil companies are going to drill, he would like to see the federal tax cut off. And he said when he -- when he was working, he used to take home only one-half of his paycheck, because rest of it go to taxes, and he said if the oil companies just wants to drill, he would like to see something come from the oil companies to help out with the people working by taking out federal income tax.

H.O.: I think that's beyond the scope of this hearing, but I think we understand what Mr. Itta's concerns are. And I'm going to suggest that, if he has time, when we --when -- when we take our break -- we have other people scheduled to testify -- that maybe he might like to chat with Marsha Bennett, who's on our staff and who has tried to cover these concerns in the environmental impact statement -- I mean this kind of concern -- with respect to -- to change. And you'll be happy to talk with Mr. Itta, wouldn't you?

(Mrs. Solomon speaks Inupiat)

H.O.: Is that satisfactory with you, Mr. Itta? (Mrs. Solomon and Mr. Itta speak Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said he knew that he shouldn't -- he shouldn't have mentioned federal tax, but he -- he had to say it because every year everything -- everything is going up

on sales, the price is going up every year, getting higher. 1 That's true, and we understand that 2 Thank you very much. Does anyone else have any 3 concern. questions or -- or comments to Mr. Itta? And if you will talk 4 with Mrs. Bennett, I'm sure that she can show him where she's 5 tried to address those concerns in here. Thank you very much. 7 Mr. Robert Harcharek? Did I pronounce your name correctly? 8 Harcharek? I'm butchering it. Representing Ukpeagvik Inupiat 9 Corporation. 10 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: He's not here. 11 I see. Mr. Delbert Rexford? 12 DELBERT REXFORD 13 testified as follows: 14 MR. REXFORD: Good afternoon, panel. 15 H.O.: Thank you. 16 MR. REXFORD: My name is Delbert Rexford, and 17 I'm a... 18 Could he spell his last name, COURT REPORTER: 19 please? 20 Spell my last name? R-e-x-f-o-r-d MR. REXFORD: 21 This is not the first time that I, as an Inupiat, am expressing 22 deep concern over offshore drilling on the Beaufort Sea, and I 23 will not claim to know the E.I.S. of the -- I don't know how to

H.O.: Diapir.

pronounce that field.

24

25

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. REXFORD: Okay. You pronounced it for me. Of that -- of that statement.

H.O.: Okay.

MR. REXFORD: I am a member of my father's whaling crew and I enjoy it. It is something that my children are learning to -- to enjoy and to live as their lifestyle. is our overwhelming feeling that the whaling crew feeds hundreds of Inupiat people. It is a feeling that you cannot compare, to the agony of defeat and the thrill of victory, as the ABC Wide World of Sports network quotes during each presentation. the Inupiat people of the Arctic region are a special breed of people. We as a nation have advanced along with modern technology, yet we are able to maintain our status as a subsistence people. It is with deep sincerity I give you the following presentation. There are numerous social, economical and political impacts that the lease sale will have if it does occur. There will be positive as well as negative impacts. fear the positive impact that the sale will have; however, I fear the negative impact that this lease sale will have. positive impact on the social, economical and political affairs will be the availability of employment, funds for the home ruled North Slope Borough government for capital improvement projects, funds for -- for the state as well as the federal government. The negative impact this lease sale will have are There is the threat of the influx of outsiders who,

22

23

24

25

when able to outvote the Inupiat people, will dominate our home ruled government. In a speech by our late Abraham Lincoln on May 19, 1856, he stated: "The ballot is stronger than the bullet!" And that is one of my many concerns, is that the opening of this field will bring outsiders in and we no longer will be the dominant society in the North Slope Borough. There is the threat of genocide, alcoholism increase, alcohol and drug related deaths. A major concern is the threat to the feeding grounds of our marine mammals, the migratory grounds of the whales. Quote: "How much testing bothers the whales, we don't know, but we're worried that it may drive them off their normal migrating path, a path that Inupiat whalers depend on," states Dr. Albert in the January 27, 1982, issue of the Tundra Times North Slope Borough Newsletter. If the whaling migratory route goes a different way, this will make it more difficult on the Inupiat people to keep their status as subsistence people. The Arctic Slope region is a culture of its own, separate and only comparable to other Arctic Slope regions. The great law of culture is, let each become all that he was created capable of being. I feel that at times the oil in- -- oil and gas industry has -- has put limitations on our culture due to their consistency -- consistency of -- of overriding our desires for not -- to let them go out to the Beaufort Sea and drill. When the United States government was formed, there were difficult times for all people. It is unfortunate that the United States government

22

23

24

25

stole this land from the original owners. The United States government continues to steal whatever they desire from the human beings that show respect and love for the land, the sea, the air, the marine mammals, the waterfowl and the animals of our climate. On October 16th, 1854, in a speech by President Abraham Lincoln in Peoria, Illinois, the following is quoted: "No man is good enough to govern another man without that other's consent." At the same token, no culture is good enough to govern another culture with that -- without that other's I feel that the -- the white culture is intruding on the culture that the Inupiat people have, because of their -of their desire to go out to the Beaufort Sea and -- and go offshore and drill. The State of Alaska and the oil industry continues to govern and dictate the future -- future of the Arctic Slope region and its inhabitants through the sale of oil and gas lease sales in the Arctic region. It is said, "There are no necessary evils in government; its evils exist only in its abuses. If it will confine itself to equal protection, and as heaven does it rains, showers its favors alike on the high and the low, the rich and the poor, it would be an unqualified blessing." This was stated by President Andrew Jackson. do not have the equal protection that the oil and gas industry has. We have seen the results of justice in the United States of America to the American Indian and to the Inupiat people in ANCSA. As a citizen of the United States of America, as

a concerned member of the Inupiat tribe of the native village of Barrow, I oppose any and all offshore drilling for any purpose, exploratory or otherwise, because it jeopardizes my lifestyle as a subsistence people and my children's future as subsistence people. I thank you for the opportunity to let me speak on behalf of myself and my family, because we will be impacted by this lease sale if it does go through.

H.O.: Thank you, Mr. Rexford. Where do you -- where do you get your mail at? Barrow or...

MR. REXFORD: Yes, I do.

H.O.: Any other mailing address -- I mean box number?

MR. REXFORD: Box 231, Barrow.

H.O.: Okay. Any comments or questions to Mr. Rexford? Thank you very much for your testimony.

MR. REXFORD: Thank you very much. I'll make copies. This is the only copy I have.

H.O.: Would you? We'd appreciate that.

MR. REXFORD: Yes. Yes, I'll make copies right away. Thank you.

H.O.: Thank you. Mr. Kersman Peetook? Mr.

Peetook?

KERSMAN F. PEETOOK

testified as follows:

MR. PEETOOK: Good afternoon, panel.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

H.O.: Your last name is spelled P-e-e-t-o-o-k? 1 2 MR. PEETOOK: O-o-k. 3 H.O.: Okay. 4 MR. PEETOOK: I'm from Wainwright. Wainwright, 5 And I'm commissioner for AWC. And my main point is 6 that the food chains of the animals, if -- if -- maybe you can.. 7 (speaks Inupiat) My main point is the food chains... 8 H.O.: The food chain? 9 MR. PEETOOK: Um-hum. 10 Of the... H.O.: 11 MR. PEETOOK: Animals. 12 Animals offshore? H.O.: 13 MR. PEETOOK: Any kind of animals. 14 Okay. Particularly whales? H.O.: 15 MR. PEETOOK: Um-hum. (speaks Inupiat) 16 MRS. SOLOMON: His most concern is about the 17 feeding, feeding habits and what they eat, of whales and the 18 small fish. If -- and he is really against that, because that 19 is where these species feed, that -- in areas up there where 20 they're going to drill. And he is against the drilling because 21 -- because of the food they eat. They -- if they have nothing 22 to eat, then they'll disappear, the small mammals or fishes or 23 birds will disappear. 24

H.O.: He's speaking of the Harrison Bay area

in particular?

25

MR. PEETOOK: Anywhere along the coast. 1 H.O.: Anywhere along the coast? 2 MR. PEETOOK: Yes. I've seen (speaks Inupiat) 3 He said the whales and the wal-4 MRS. SOLOMON: ruses and (indiscernible) seal will -- will no longer be around 5 if they -- their feeding ground is damaged. 6 7 (Mr. Peetook speaks Inupiat) MRS. SOLOMON: He is against this drilling be-8 9 cause of the species that will be endangered. He is against it before they find a way to remedy the spillage of oil. 10 11 (Mr. Peetook speaks Inupiat) 12 MRS. SOLOMON: He is against the drilling where 13 -- where it is designated... 14 (Mr. Peetook and Mrs. Solomon speak Inupiat) 15 MRS. SOLOMON: He is against drilling around 16 near Teshekpuk, because he knows there's strong current in that 17 area and the ocean is deep. And way -- way out from offshore. 18 H.O.: How far? 19 MRS. SOLOMON: I mean... 20 H.O.: How far offshore? 21 MR. PEETOOK: It says over here it's about 22 thirty -- thirty-seven miles south. If I'm right, that little 23 block is about three miles, that little block. 24 H.O.: This -- this farthest...

MR. PEETOOK: Yes.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

H.O.: ...point?

MR. REID: You -- you feel that that's too far out, is that what you're saying?

MR. PEETOOK: That's what I was saying.

MR. REID: Okay.

(Mr. Peetook speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said that the ocean is deep and even sixteen feet is deep, and the -- the ice pressure is very strong, and he's against drilling before they can invent something to stop the accident of oil. He is really against that before they can find something to clean the oil spill, that's what he means.

(Mr. Peetook speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He is con- -- he's always concerned whenever there's -- when he has to talk about the smallest of the little animals, from the very smallest to the bigger ones because if the smaller animals that other animals feed on disappear, then the larger ones will also disappear. That's -- that he says he's -- that he's concerned every time when there's a -- he has to speak. He's concerned about the very smallest animals.

H.O.: The little krill?

MR. PEETOOK: Thanks, thanks for your time.

Thank you.

H.O.: Thank you, Mr. Peetook. Any comments or

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

questions? Thank you very much. The next person -- I realize we're running a bit late, but I think we'll make it up. The next person is Mr. Michael Jeffrey from Alaska Legal Services.

MR. JEFFREY: Thank you, Miss -- Madam Chairman.

I prefer to speak later on, if I may. (ph)

H.O.: All right. Has Mr. Robert Harcharek come in? Let's take about a five-minute break and stand in recess for five minutes.

(Off record)

(On record)

H.O.: And the next witness is Arnold Brower, Junior. Thank you.

ARNOLD BROWER, JR.

testified as follows:

MR. BROWER: Good afternoon. My name is Arnold Brower, Junior, and I'm pretty familiar with the book. And -- and I've made several testimonies on -- in reference to the book and what I have seen from testimonies from the oil companies on behalf of saving expense on oil and gas leasing in Anchorage and other places. I can concur with the statements that the North Slope Borough has made, but I want to emphasize more on the leasing areas in front of Hal- -- between Halkett and Oliktok area, because of the impact and -- that the ice has had in that area, and it's not known in a historical sense as far back as the inception of the State of Alaska, and that --

22

23

24

25

what technology that the industry has, it only has since it came to the North Slope. And that we -- I myself carried the history on my own behalf from -- since I was eight or nine years old, when I started as a whale crew member in my father's and my grandfather's whaling -- whaling crew, Al Hobson's and my father Arnold Brower, Senior's. The ice pressure is something that cannot be measured by any -- so far, that I have known, all technology that now research and the industry has on -- lack evidence of complete knowledge of what the ice pressure can do. For instance, that -- some years back ago, that some whaling crew were lost in sea by ice pressure ridges forming in front of their camp and it didn't appear like it was forming too much, so they stayed there. And while -- during this formation was going on and the pressure ridge was going not so much in the front, but they did not -- the people did not realize that the ridge -- pressure ridge was forming on the bottom side, on the subsurface of the ice. And this happened -- I'm pretty sure the -- the senior people have complete knowledge of the date and when this happened. But this is brought down to me through knowledge from my -- from my grandfather and my father, that these people were lost because when that pressure ridge on the sub- -- on the submerged ridge was forming, rather than piling up on top, was piling on the bottom. The -- it piled so much down there that it had to float up. Even if the ice was six feet thick, that thing cave in and came up maybe fifty yards or

24

25

fifty feet back of the tent and folded up and turned the thing upsidedown, the whole ice ridge. And people that were -- some of them were still sleeping, some -- some that weren't sleeping, were lost with all of the equipment. These are some of the minor things -- some of the things that has not even been The intense -- the saltwater fresh ice does not researched. just have a medium pressure. The more compact it gets on the pressure ridge area, the more force that's developing, like a balloon that's gonna pop, and it'll give, not in just that area, but it'll give maybe from the shoreline area and push it up. And I fail to see -- I have read in the -- some of the pages in the Diapir Field Impact Statement that -- that ABSORB has some technology of cleaning up -- possibly cleaning up some oil spill that has -- contain it all. But when I listened to the last testimony that -- that they had -- I mean a brief argue or something, that went on in Anchorage, they failed to comprehend or to give a foolproof cleanup technology in -- in just an ice -- a flat ice area. What I am envisoning right now is that an impossibility of even capping a broken rig if oil was found in the Beaufort Sea in the proposed lease area, because you are dealing with an area where if at the time it should break or it should give, you are looking at some forty-below weather with probably some winds at least twenty knots. And you have to look at all those conditions, and your -- there just isn't all that much facility, even if it's mobile, to clean it up.

23

24

25

And the oil spill, it might -- that's gonna happen, have such a detrimental impact that what Rossman (ph) and these other people that have testified before me, that if oil should settle down to the ocean floor, it's gonna affect the -- the clams and the other additional things that -- foods that the sea mammals depend on in the ocean floor of the Beaufort Sea. And from my experience, this will -- if this lease sale goes through it will take a precedence on other offshore lease sales in the Chukchi area, and that has the same -- it will have the same detrimental impact on the ecosystems of the -- our marine life cycles, all -- even to the lakes where the river drains out of. And I think that additional research and experiment on gravel islands have to be reviewed or researched to see what impact the water currents will have. And I am not totally in opposition to the area in -- in -- inside the Barrier Islands, on -- on the islands itself, but on the -- where there's water on the Beaufort Sea itself. So my statement is that I oppose the lease sale beyond what -- anything beyond -- even it's on stateline, (ph) beyond the Barrier Islands and beyond the land. Thank you.

H.O.: Just a moment. Would you say again -you said between Cape Halkett and where? You had a particular
concern with respect to...

MR. BROWER: Well...

H.O.: ...ice ridges.

MR. BROWER: I say that because that's the only

1	area I see that's marked on the map in front of me right now.		
2	But then		
3	H.O.: Oh, I see. Oliktok Point, is that what		
4	you		
5	MR. BROWER: Well, it it looks like from that		
6	area to Cape Halkett.		
7	MR. REID: Well, I I		
8	H.O.: You mean the whole area?		
9	MR. REID: Are you talking about the whole area		
10	that's proposed?		
11	MR. BROWER: Yes.		
12	MR. REID: The whole area that's proposed?		
13	MR. BROWER: Yeah. Well, I'm not saying it		
14	right, then. From Prudhoe Bay to looks like Smith Bay.		
15	MR. REID: Okay. The whole area that's proposed.		
16	and and you're saying outside the Barrier Islands, largely?		
17	MR. BROWER: Yeah.		
18	H.O.: Okay.		
19	MR. BROWER: Yes.		
20	MR. REID: Okay.		
21	H.O.: I misunderstood.		
22	MR. REID: I'll leave that here for people to look		
23	at.		
24	H.O.: Okay. Thank you.		
25	MR. BROWER: Well, the I'm not I hadn't		

seen these parts over from Midway Island to Flaxman Island.

That area over there has even stronger currents in comparison to the proposed -- large proposed area of the lease sale. So I'm in total opposition of the whole lease area.

MR. REID: Any -- okay. Again, you're talking about outside the Barrier Islands?

MR. BROWER: Yes.

MR. REID: Okay. Can I ask you one more question, Arnold? As you're -- as you're probably aware, on the joint Beaufort sale that occurred two years ago in '79, there was a stipulation that -- that gravel islands, experimental gravel islands, would have to be constructed and withstand at least two winter seasons before they could be utilized outside of the Barrier Islands.

MR. BROWER: Yeah.

MR. REID: Would you see that as a possible way that this sale, if this sale took place, might proceed, with that kind of a -- with that kind of a requirement?

MR. BROWER: Well, that's part of the research that I think I -- I mean -- that I'm referring to when I say research, extensive -- well, whatever research is required, not to drill but to put a platform out there even just to see what it'll do, and I'm pretty sure that this kind of experiment, just to see what -- what kind of impacts from the sea, you know. Each year is never the same as the year before in how -- how the

1	ice the shore fast (ph) ice stays, so it is depending on		
2	some of the high rise in the tide and the wind and the		
3	these things. Sometime they just if there's just even		
4	we have a minimal tide, but if there's a mimimal high tide, you		
5	know, it'll pick up our whole fast ice, shore ice and take it		
6	out. And when that happens, then, you know, the the young		
7	ice that started in September or October and was onshore ice		
8	is usually by March becomes six feet thick, six sometimes		
9	eight feet thick, depending on where if there's snowdrifting		
10	involved. When that kind of ice goes out and comes back in,		
11	there's not much any kind of rig or some nothing can hardly		
12	stop that, even if you put that as many dozers as you want		
13	on the shoreline.		
14	MR. REID: Okay. Thank you.		
15	H.O.: Thank you. Do you have a mailing address		
16	Arnold?		
17	MR. BROWER: Yes. Box 402.		
18	H.O.: Thank you. Mr. Ben Nungasak? Did I say		
19	your name correctly?		
20	MRS. SOLOMON: He's kind of hard of hearing.		
21	H.O.: Oh.		
22	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I'll turn my written comment		
23	in.		

BEN NUNGASAK

Thank you.

Thank you.

H.O.:

24

25

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

testified as follows:

MR. NUNGASAK: Good afternoon. My name is Ben Nungasak. Maybe I could have an interpreter somehow. I don't speak much in English.

H.O.: Mrs. Solomon will be there. Where do you live, Mr. -- say your name again? Nungasak? Nungasak?

MR. NUNGASAK: Um-hum, Nungasak.

MRS. SOLOMON: He's kind of hard of hearing.

H.O.: Um-hum. Where do you live?

MR. NUNGASAK: At Barrow.

H.O.: At Barrow.

MR. NUNGASAK: All my life. 68 years, that long. (speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: First -- first thing he would like to say, to begin with, is why this hardship is coming upon us.

(Mr. Nungasak speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said when the oil first came -- came around and when they were drilling -- drilling for the first time, it was -- it wasn't that bad for a while. It was good for the people. But later on, it came about having to do with the mammals and land animals and sea.

(Mr. Nungasak speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said where they -- where they plan to drill is where lots of animals are, there, mammals. And he said in the ocean there is a lot of -- all kinds of species

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the ocean, all around.

(Mr. Nungasak speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: Since he is older now and can't hunt like he used to, he still wants the animals preserved so the younger people can keep on hunting, and he is always happy when there's a catch of big animals like whales.

(Mr. Nungasak speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He is wondering why they want to drill at that certain place where they -- where they have He said he don't want drilling there because he knows the sea is -- sea can be rough when it's --when it gets rough and the current is strong, can do anything without even the help of the wind. The current is so strong that it can damage anything.

(Mr. Nungasak speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: Well, he don't want the feeding -- feeding place of mammals and -- all kinds of mammals, sea mammals, to be damaged by drilling. He is really against the drilling and he opposes drilling there.

(Mr. Nungasak speaks Inupiat)

He said this -- this -- he hasn't MRS. SOLOMON: gone through an episode like this, about people wanting to drill and all that, in his -- in his life, when he was growing up, but he said he -- if -- and they never got any oil from up there, but oil was brought in by shipment, by the ships or the

airplanes, and the only way they can get oil was trading with 1 fox skins. (Mrs. Solomon and Mr. Nungasak speak Inupiat) 3 He was talking about kerosene MRS. SOLOMON: 4 and gas. 5 (Mr. Nungasak speaks Inupiat) 6 MRS. SOLOMON: He said in those days gas and 7 kerosene was very cheap, but now sometimes there -- he's even 8 afraid to gas up, to fill up his car or truck, because the 9 price is so high. He's kind of reluctant to go up there to-gas 10 up his -- his only transportation. And he's wondering why the 11 price is so high now. 12 13 (Mr. Nungasak speaks Inupiat) He has -- I think that's the MRS. SOLOMON: 14 15 end of what he has to talk about, but he wants to say it once 16 He oppose drilling in the offshore. more. 17 MR. NUNGASAK: Thank you. 18 Thank you, Ms. Solomon. H.O.: Thank you. 19 You spell your name A-i-k-e-n? Johnny Aiken? 20 MR. AIKEN: Yes. 21 H.O.: And what is your address, Mr. Aiken? 22 MR. AIKEN: Box 494. 23 H.O.: Barrow? 24 MR. AIKEN: I'm from here. 25 H.O.: Um-hum.

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JOHNNY AIKEN

testified as follows:

From Barrow. I used to be a bird MR. AIKEN: hunter when I was a kid. I had five dog -- five dogs and they were my dog team. I have grown up here hunting birds, lemmings, fish, caribou, whale, and as I have been growing up I thought I was losing my sight. I started losing what I was growing up with: birds, lemming, fish. And those were my actual things I used to hunt. Now -- now I am losing them from this oil drilling. And when I go up inland, go fishing, you start getting catfish. Those are unusual in our rivers up inland. You start getting these catfish. And those catfish are always on the ocean, not on rivers. And I was wondering why you start getting catfish in the rivers. I think some -- something's telling us that we're moving up instead of our original fish that are in the rivers. And I haven't seen that -- very many lemmings, but I used to get when I was a kid. Don't see very many of them around. And caribou, there's not that many around. I don't know. Some years ago, might have been four or five years ago, probably in -- on Christmastime, the ice came up right next to this building, the Youth Center, and almost hit the building and there was many people in it. And it came up, everybody went out wondering what the rattle was about. Almost hit the building. There was a lot of people in it. And the current is very, very fast and very -- very swift. It can hit places

23

24

25

that are unpredictable. The current is very unpredictable. And there was a pretty (ph) island that was made, Duck Island This current was strong enough, it could probably -- it was -- if it -- if it's -- you say your -- it's strong and sturdy. Maybe our ocean could push that island out. is a possibility. That would be strong. And on Duck Island One, I guess, there were ducks migrating around there. were laying eggs. And that island sank. And I know one place in Point Hope, the old townsite is sinking. And Point Lay, I guess, it's got -- doesn't have a very sturdy place where they're living. And if this island sank, Duck Island Two sank, wouldn't that be making a total mistake, drilling there? And there's that possibility, if you struck oil there and (indiscernible - cough) that oil went out, there would be no more oil down and that island would be sinking. There's that possibility. There's islands around that sink. Actually, the town of Point Hope is sinking. And there's so many other places that might be sinking, too. And I just don't know. I'm not -- I don't know what they're trying to get by getting oil. I guess to have warmth and everything. But isn't that a little too much, just to go somewhere drill and -- maybe you're making preparation to go outer space, I don't know. And it's just not real. I've never had to deal with gasoline. I had a dog team. They were -- they were -- they're smart dogs. They can go anywhere they want.

1	H.O.: Do you still have the dog team?		
2	MR. AIKEN: Unh-unh. I lost 'em, ever since the		
3	pipeline, Prudhoe Bay. And I'm losing sight. Maybe all the		
4	whales will turn the other way, too, go on Russia side. And		
5	their migrating is on our land on our ocean. It's been there		
6	centuries and centuries. My grandfather taught me how to whale,		
7	and I haven't even shot at a whale yet, won't even (ph) harpoon		
8	yet. Am I going to be doing that in the future? Thank you.		
9	H.O.: Thank you, Mr. Aiken. Mr. Ross Ahngasuk?		
10	Ahngasuk? Mr. Ahngasuk, you spell your name A-h-n-g		
11	ROSS AHNGASUK		
12	testified as follows:		
13	MR. AHNGASUK: A-h-n-g-a-s-u-k.		
14	H.O.: S-u-k. Thank you. And you live in		
15	Barrow?		
16	MR. AHNGASUK: I was born in Barrow and I'm		
17	getting old in Barrow.		
18	H.O.: So am I.		
19	MR. AHNGASUK: I'll use my own tongue and she		
20	will translate it.		
21	H.O.: Fine. Thank you.		
22	(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)		
23	MRS. SOLOMON: He said we he said we have		
24	been talking, we have been speaking, and help sometimes		
25	people from other towns come in and we discuss this, but		

1	about drilling, and they are still against it. They are he	
2	is against drilling in the island (indiscernible) island,	
3	and he is wondering how the testimonies are being taken.	
4	H.O.: I don't understand.	
5	MRS. SOLOMON: He said he he has had made	
6	so many testimonies, I guess, and he's	
7	H.O.: I see.	
8	MRS. SOLOMON:wondering what's happening to	
9	all that. I mean	
10	H.O.: What why so many different hearings?	
11	MRS. SOLOMON:just keep on talking about	
12	them, yeah.	
13	H.O.: Yeah. Tell him that we have that	
14	the hearings have to be held for every proposed leasing area,	
15	and this is a different area, although it may not seem so to	
16	him, from the from the joint Beaufort area that that was	
17	drilled, or is being drilled now.	
18	MRS. SOLOMON: Proposed. Can you explain pro-	
19	posed sale to me?	
20	H.O.: To come perhaps to come.	
21	MRS. SOLOMON: Um-hum. (speaks Inupiat) Did	
22	you say the drilling had already started?	
23	H.O.: There is there is drilling in in	
24	the joint Beaufort area to the east of this area. We're talking	
25	about Harrison Bay, generally, here.	

(Mrs. Solomon and Mr. Ahngasuk speak Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He was -- he was on a trip up towards the east. He went up there. He said when -- when they passed through -- when they passed through Pitt Point and Smith Point to Prudhoe, he saw oil rigs all along in a line.

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He saw them on the shoreline and in the land, right -- right close to each other.

H.O.: On -- on what -- what is called the Arctic
Petroleum Reserve, Naval Petroleum -- National Petroleum Reserve?

MRS. SOLOMON: I don't know.

H.O.: (simultaneous speech)

MRS. SOLOMON: He's talking about all the -- the groups around the shore.

(Mrs. Solomon and Mr. Ahngasuk speak Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He was flying. He saw the rigs from the air.

H.O.: Okay. At Prudhoe (simultaneous speech)..

MRS. SOLOMON: No, it wasn't Prudhoe Bay.

H.O.: On the way to Prudhoe Bay.

MRS. SOLOMON: (simultaneous speech) Yes.

H.O.: So it could be NPRA?

MR. BOUDREAU: Yes. There have been a number along -- through NPRA (simultaneous speech)...

H.O.: On shore, though, not offshore?

2

3

4

5

6

Cross Island.

7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MRS. SOLOMON: Yes, onshore.

H.O.: Onshore.

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

H.O.: Okay. Thank you.

MRS. SOLOMON: And from Prudhoe they went to

H.O.: Um-hum.

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: There -- there was -- there were some people against drilling in -- in the site where they planned to drill and they are still going ahead with that, even though the people are against it.

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said when they went to Cross Island, he knows -- he knows that place before and heard about it. He said he -- Cross Island is small and narrow and there's -- and there is a lot of water from it towards the shoreline, and in that wide area of water is where they -- there is ooglook(ph)
seal, birds, small animals, white -- white whale, all kinds of species that -- in the ocean, and that's where there's a lot of mammals gathered there.

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said during the springtime, the fall, the ducks stay there to hatch. That's where they have their habitat.

2

3

4 5

6

•

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

H.O.: At Cross Island?

MRS. SOLOMON: Cross Island.

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He's against drilling in Cross
Island and on the offshore because he is a na- -- an Eskimo and
lives here.

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He wants to say what he has mentioned before to oil companies, and he wants to say it again.

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He is saying he has said this before and he wants to say it again, because the people up here don't have gardens that they can live off from, and he said he is -- he is an Eskimo and he's -- like he said before, he wants to say that the white people have gardens to grow their livelihood, and he -- he used to wonder why -- what they would do if they were stopped from growing gardens for -- from having a garden. And he said the oil companies have not given an ear to listen to the pleas of the Eskimo people, because the ocean is like their garden.

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said if -- if he -- if he goes to Lower 48 and start telling the people there he plans to do something -- something about their gardens -- to their gardens..

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

3

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

MRS. SOLOMON: He said and he knows the people who own gardens where they grow what they have to eat, if they hear him say that, he knows that right away they will call the cops to pick him up.

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He is saying that even though the people here are -- are concerned about the sea, they still want to drill in spite of their talking against drilling, why -- even though the sea is their livelihood.

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said the oil companies are drawing up so many thousands of oil where they're drilling...

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: It's been quite a while since oil has been going to outside of Alaska.

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: After they have drained our land of oil, they still want to drill offshore and -- and damage the small animals or -- that the big animals live off from.

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He wants to say it again, that the oil companies or people that are after oil look at the Native people like they are little bunch of children, even though they have grey hair. They have no -- I think I would use "respect," because that's what he's trying to get across, no

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

respect for the elderly people.

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said the people of Barrow or different villages, if they would pull together, then what they are trying to get across will have more effect.

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: And he's also wondering why the people that always go out of Barrow to go to meetings somewhere else and do not show up when there's a meeting going on in local -- locally.

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: Why don't these people want to come to the meetings and help the Native people that are here to these meetings that are having a really hard time trying to convince the other parties?

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: If -- if anything should happen to the habitat of whales, if there should be accidents, then the small animals will be endangered or killed off and then the bigger animals will be hurt.

(Mr. Ahngasuk speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He just found out that this -this panel here has never heard -- has never heard the previous testimonies that are made by these same people, some of these same people, and he is -- he just has to make that testimony.

1	Tell tell me where where you get your mail, Mr in	
2	Barrow?	
3	MR. NUSUNGINYA: Yeah. Fifty-five.	
4	H.O.: Box 55, all right. Thank you. Go	
5	ahead.	
6	MR. NUSUNGINYA: Well, I'm gonna ask you one	
7	thing. Is there anybody here knows anything about ice dynamics	
8	or ocean dynamics?	
9	H.O.: I'm sure that that some of the	
10	studies people on my staff who are hear listening know about	
11	ice dynamics. I can't speak for the panel. I'm not a scientist	
12	MR. NUSUNGINYA: Yes. (speaks Inupiat) Is there	
13	anybody here capable of answering my questions on ice ice	
14	conditions and currents, subsurface currents and	
15	H.O.: I'm sure that you can speak to some	
16	of the staff people at the break. The purpose of the hearing	
17	is for us to hear from you about any information you have that	
18	would help us to make a better statement of the impacts of this	
19	proposed leasing.	
20	MR. NUSUNGINYA: I am absolutely opposed to the	
21	offshore development. I must deliver you know, I must get	
22	that point across.	
23	H.O.: Okay.	
24	MR. NUSUNGINYA: 'Cause all your equipment isn't	
25	completely infallible. Well, to start off with, in in the	

1

5 6

8

9

7

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

event of a blowout, how can you contain -- how can you get your scrubbing up operations going if you have currents going in all erratic directions, you know? One -- one current which could be on the surface could go in one direction. Another subsurface current can go in a complete -- completely the other way around. But with these conditions, I can't see how you can get your scrubbing operations going to a point where it's feasible. (speaks Inupiat)

H.O.: You don't know how it frustrates me not to be able to understand you.

MR. NUSUNGINYA: I'm just translating what I just said, in Inupiat. (speaks Inupiat) My mother was born -born right there in Cape Halkett, and that's one of my big concerned areas. I don't want any offshore development out there of any kind, 'cause I can see all the infallible -- infallible -- I can see all -- some of the things that you can't overcome. Ocean currents are erratic. The further inland you If the -- if -- if go in, the more erratic the currents area. the current tide is incoming, it'll bring the oil into the channels, rivers. If it's outgoing, it'll dissipate out in the ocean, but it'll bring up the hydrocarbon level to a -- to a point where it's toxic to biota, plankton, and up -- up the ladder of the ocean cycle. With these factors going against you, how can you go on and say, "Okay. We're going ahead. won't listen to you people. We won't listen to you aborigines

> 3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

up here," 'cause I can safely say we are third-class citizens of the United States. We are. You tell me, how come people born and partly -- partially raised, like in Prudhoe Bay, how come they don't have anything? That is the grounds of being a third-class citizen. Thank you.

H.O.: Thank you. Mr. Kenneth Toovak? Toovak?
Mr. Toovak?

KENNETH TOOVAK

testified as follows:

MR. TOOVAK: Yes. My name is Kenneth Toovak. I welcome you in the Barrow village here.

H.O.: Thank you.

MR. TOOVAK: I lived here 58 years. That's all my lifetime. But sometimes I feel like I know most of everything. Know that it freeze in certain time, snow melt in certain time, but it never happen, though. Kind of hard to say what the wind will do next day, so I learned -- I -- I learned that much in my lifetime. Kind of hard to say what's gonna -- what the wind will do next day, as far as I'm concerned. Maybe weatherman would know, but...

H.O.: They don't have a very good record.

MR. TOOVAK: But back in 1937 or '38, ice piled up to the beach. Tremendous, it was a tremendous pile back in '37 or '38. But since that time it piled up this and there, but it never piled up that bad.

_

H.O.: Here in Barrow?

MR. TOOVAK: Here in Barrow. So instance (ph)
like I worked for Arctic Contractor Days (ph). That's the late
begins on the late 1940's. In those days I was equipment
operator. So we had to make a channel, human-made channel, so
the barges could go in and out of the lagoon to out light (ph
reach the cargo when the Navy ship used to come in, rather than
the barges. They had those light light crafts, what they
call L.C.M.s and the barges. So I was the equipment operator
at the time and running a dozer when they drew a dredge with a
dragline, and human made. But every storm it filled back in.
It plugged up again. So that means the human made the wind
don't satisfy a human made channels. (speaks Inupiat) And
this sand movement out at the point, about eight miles from
here on the north side of $\underline{\text{Noovook}}$ (ph) where the it it
cut on the north side. It's tremendous cut. Back in 1945 we
put the the the radar target there. I was working for
the Coastal (indiscernible) <u>Survey</u> . (ph) So we put this target
and, oh, heck, it was a good hundred feet from the edge of the
bank there, and that target had been in the drink due to the
erosion of the the beach. So I would say a good 250 feet
or more has been cut, that I have seen in my lifetime. And on
the west side of of that area of the Noovook, on the west
side, I used to run a boat boats for Browers on my early
early days, early part of 1940's. We had to take those in-board

30-foot boats when the wind picked up -- from northeasterly 1 wind. I used to run the boat and then shelter that boat behind 2 that -- a hook -- from the northeasterly wind. And I -- used 3 to be way back in. So now the nearest -- the nearest, I would 4 guess, addition in that beach, good 1500 feet addition on the 5 west side of that -- that point. That's a lot of tremendous 6 movement in -- at that -- at the point. That's strictly what 7 I have seen myself personally. I don't know how the ice island 8 made will hold the wind, the current. As I talked earlier, 9 mentioned earlier, on that channel, home made -- I mean human 10 made channel, it don't like to be opened, then what I want it 11 12 to -- then what the people want it to. I think it would be the same thing on the man-made island. For instance, like one time, 13 14 I forgot exactly what year, but anyway somewhere around 1970, '74, '75, year of '75, nearest that I could say, that the ice --15 16 one of the icebreakers anchored offshore here in the summer 17 months -- I believe it was the Northwind icebreaker -- and the 18 ice came and the south, southwest was kind of a bit breezy that 19 day. Oh heck, it wasn't that -- even much more than ten to 20 twelve miles an hour, the wind. But the current -- but the 21 current with a little bit of a wind -- the icebreaker was 22 anchored offshore and lost his anchor. The chain broke. 23 must be quite a -- quite a -- quite a hazard in that -- in that

I don't know how good the home-made -- human-made ice island will

-- right there, that ice jamming against that icebreaker.

24

25

So that

24

25

Maybe it needs quite a few pounds of chains to hold it or something for support. And I use this example about the -- about these ducks, what they're called stellar eiders. Back in -- when I was beginning to be a boy, my parents, they owned a canoe. So we have to go down the coast when the -- when they --after all the ice caved. We had to haul some driftwood for when -- winter use, along the coastline. There used to be some -- these stellar eiders just bunched up in one spot, another bunch, another bunch, in the summer months, after they nesting in the -- in the -- up inland. They stayed along this coastline in a big bunch, you know, in bunches, bunch, bunch. for the last years that I have known -- have seen my -- personally, I haven't seen any flock along this shoreline for the last few years. I don't know what -- what became of those I got two years that I have -- hear that the barges have lost -- and lost some oil, transporting oil down south. I don't know what happened. It must be -- maybe the -- the ducks got caught by this oil. I don't know. Who knows? I don't know. Maybe somebody denying from down south. Maybe some of these scientists would know. But they are -- I haven't heard any -- maybe the people deny for some reason, maybe. with these -- these little birds, snipes. Used to be in the fall, along the beach, just hundreds of it, along the beach, you know, in the ocean. But same -- same thing. They're gone. Maybe you'll see one or two there, this and there, but not hundreds

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	$\ $
9	1
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
10	
18	
٠.	
19	
00	
20	
O1	
21	
00	
22	
00	
23	

25

anymore. Then again, maybe somebody denys to tell me. I don't know. Maybe it's something that maybe -- I'd like to see somebody tell me, wrote me a letter what happened. Maybe people know about it.

H.O.: How -- how much has the Barrow area grown in population since you've lived here in the last 50 years?

MR. TOOVAK: Oh, I don't know. I -- when I was a boy, I -- I never really -- really could find out what was the population, but -- but when I was a boy, beginning to be a boy, I would say -- the closest I would maybe roughly guess would be somewhere around, oh, eight, nine hundred, when I was a boy.

H.O.: Um-hum.

MR. TOOVAK: So it's tremendous change.

H.O.: Um-hum.

MR. TOOVAK: And from a hardship -- hardship in a lifetime to betterment in our lifetime. Betterment, but we people can't satisfy each -- the will (ph) of each other, you know. We fight each other for some reason. I think we're getting too wise, maybe. I don't know. That's all I have.

H.O.: Thank you very much. Any questions or comments? Okay. Thank you very much.

MR. TOOVAK: Um-hum.

H.O.: Mr. Isaac Kayutak? Is it Isaac or Issac?
MR. KAYUTAK: Isaac.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
ევ	

25

H.O.: With two "A"s?

MR. KAYUTAK: Yeah.

H.O.: Okay. K-a-y-u-t-a-k?

MR. KAYUTAK: K-a-y-u-t-a-k.

H.O.: Thank you. Go ahead.

ISAAC KAYUTAK

testified as follows:

MR. KAYUTAK: First of all, I'd like to know what panel you are and why you're hear.

opening statement. We're representing the Department of the Interior. Our purpose is to take comments on a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for proposed offshore oil and gas leasing in the Harrison Bay area in the map that's before you. And so any knowledge that you have or information that you have, if you've reviewed the statement and can help us to correct it or make it better or any information that you have that can help us in the final environmental impact statement, is what we are really after.

MR. KAYUTAK: Okay.

H.O.: Do you live in Barrow?

MR. KAYUTAK: I work here in Barrow. I grew up in the village called Wainwright, which is right near Barrow.

H.O.: Where do you get your mail?

MR. KAYUTAK: I get my mail here at Post Office

Box 437.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

H.O.: All right. Thank you. Go ahead.

MR. KAYUTAK: I'd like to mention that while all these lease sales are going on, the Inupiat people and their Inupiat governments have a lawsuit against the United States. And at this time, the United States and the State of Alaska have already leased land and part of our ocean to the industry. And in your environmental impact statement here, it says that there will be a blowout. We know that. And that hurts me very much, because I know that, too. There will be a blowout. There have been blowouts, not serious at this time because the wells were not deep and there aren't that many wells at this time. I'm at the point of breaking and losing my patience with the United States and the State of Alaska as an Inupiat. And I am wondering if I should go further on to say that the United States has broken their trust with the Eskimos, because when the sale was made from Russia to the United States on the State of Alaska, which at that time was sold as the Territory of Alaska, it plainly states north of the Porcupine River, Yukon River and the Kuskokwim River the Russians did not sell, because they had not conquered us. That's one of the trusts that have been broken by the United States, for at this time we are invaded. We are being taxed by the United States and the State of Alaska. We are United States citizens, yes, but we are not property of the United States, for we are one people and we

23

24

25

cannot be broken by countries, such as the Russians, the Canadians, the United States and Denmark. We are one people with one common bond, one language, one lifestyle, and you are a threat to our people. With this impact statement you have called Diapir Field, you are saying and practicing cultural genocide on the Inupiat. In a shorter word, you are destroying one people. And the Arctic Ocean, it feeds the Pacific Ocean and the Atlantic Ocean, and if the Arctic Ocean ever dies, the Pacific Ocean and the Atlantic Ocean will no longer be fed by the Arctic Ocean, and which means the United States will not be only answerable to the Eskimos, but also to Russia, Japan, China, all the countries in Europe and the whole world, because you will have killed the ocean. Hydrocarbon development at this time is not a safe energy, nor is it cheap. There are safer energies and cheaper ones. And at this time the United States is suppressing those energies from being used because of the availability of hydrocarbon development. And it's no good. we going to be citizens of such a country? Will you be a citizen of that country that practice that? You know, there is a lot of trust broken between the United States, the State of Alaska, and the Inupiats. They have had lease sales and that's no good, without our permission, against our wishes, just because one lousy piece of paper was signed without our consent. ANCSA does not distinguish me as an Inupiat. The United States is very scary at this time to us. We cannot make an animal.

21

22

23

24

25

can make a location and synthetically reproduce it. but we cannot reproduce an animal, and you are all aware of this as a panel. And will you be a panel that has stood in front of the Inupiat and said, "Let me hear you," and turn around and go back to the Department of the Interior and this has been okayed. you be such a panel? I would never want to be. 'Cause you are not only going to practice cultural genocide; you will kill the whole world. This little square is not the beginning, nor is it the end, which you want to call the Diapir Field, the proposed oil and gas lease Sale 71. It will range all the way from Newfoundland, all the way to Mexico, these lease sales. And at this time California is fighting the Department of Interior. not only the Eskimos now. The whole world is catching on. amazing the people have not gone violent with their own govern-Is it because they trust them? No, I think the people are smarter than the government. They know when you lie and when you don't, 'cause it catches up with you no matter what you want to be. We're just Inupiat, the real people. We have witnesses from time immemorial how this land was formed. We have stories of how the mountains were formed, how the land was formed, even though they might be folk tales to you. When we ask your scientists, "How old is this rock here?" and they tell us the age, and we tell 'em why the rock is there. You see, we are far surpassed your number figures. And in your number figures, one in a million is not true for blowouts. I also know the man who

16

17

18

19

20

21

 22

23

24

25

designed your gravel islands and your ice islands, for I have lived with that man and his family and I have told him what I know. And he has gone here to Barrow, he has gone into Canada, he has gone into Newfoundland, and he has studied the strength of the ice, and he tells me the only way to stop a floating piece of ice which is just floating ten square miles would be with an atom bomb, and at this time the world is not ready to stop that or hear of it. And this is what it would take to protect your ice islands, your gravel islands and those Barrier Islands, is an atom bomb to stop that much force. I am just a hunter and I also work for a living.

H.O.: Where do you work?

MR. KAYUTAK: I work for I.C.A.S. I'm their executive secretary. And I've gone to all your schools, your cities. I can survive 'em. I bet you won't even walk down to the open Lead (ph) out here with me and come back. I can do that, just walk down and come back. You, yourself, won't even attempt to get out of the road and walk down to the shore. I know that. I've watched you all. You might take a picture or two, but you won't even go out there and see how far -- how thick the ice is or see what's under the ice. I know what's under the ice. I know how to get that information.

H.O.: Would you like to give us some of that information?

MR. KAYUTAK: At this time I do not have time.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

H.O.: I see.

MR. KAYUTAK: You see, it would take in my language a few minutes, in your language a couple of years.

H.O.: Do you have anything that could be help-ful to us? I appreciate your...

MR. KAYUTAK: Like I told you, this is out of order. This lease sale is out of order and it should never have been brought up, because we are in litigation with the United States and the State of Alaska. And you are, you know, privateering in our lands and ocean.

H.O.: I respect your point of view. Do you have anything else?

MR. KAYUTAK: It is not just my point of view. It is my land and my ocean, and you're saying this to me, and it's not good enough. At this time I want to hear from you as a panel that this lease sale will not happen; otherwise, our information is just nothing again. It's just hot air. In the beginning we didn't want them to drill on our land. They drilled. We did not want them to drill in our ocean. Now they made an excuse of the Barrier Islands. They're already in the Barrier Islands. Our fish are infected. I don't know how bad off our plankton is at this time. We have crazy caribou because of development on land. Crazy birds. What more do you want to do, make crazy people out of us? That is what I want to share with you. Your impact has already happened, and before the

1	development even happened. Thank you. (applause)
2	H.O.: Mr. Lloyd Ahvakana?
3	COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. Can we go off the
4	record for a minute?
5	H.O.: Yes. We want to go off the record for a
6	moment while she changes the tape.
7	(Off record)
8	(On record)
9	H.O.: All right. Mr. Ahvakana?
10	MR. AHVAKANA: Yes.
11	H.O.: You're speaking for the for Mayor
12	Brower?
13	MR. AHVAKANA: Right.
14	H.O.: All Right.
15	LLOYD AHVAKANA
16	testified as follows:
17	MR. AHVAKANA: I'm reading I'm gonna just
18	read his statement here. It was made by him.
19	H.O.: All right.
20	MR. AHVAKANA: "Good afternoon Esther Esther
21	Wunnicke and members of the Alaska OCS Office. I welcome you
22	to my home, Barrow, Alaska. I am Eugene Brower, Mayor of the
23	North Slope Borough, Chairman of the Eskimo Whaling Commission,
24	President of the Barrow Whaling Captains, and a whaling captain
25	and a subsistence hunter. I am obligated and take pleasure in

25

serving and providing for my people, the Inupiats. I am here to address the negative impact oil and gas development is having and will continue to have on the Arctic environment and on my This development is either planned or occurring in the Beaufort Sea, Chukchi Sea, Bering Sea and their adjacent coast-It encompasses and surrounds the critical habitat of the bowhead whale and the habitat of the Inupiat people. well know, the Inupiats have existed along the northern and western coast of Alaska for many thousands of years. existence is based on subsistence and has culturally tied us to the bowhead whale and to the rest of the marine and animal life of our land. The people (sic) of marine and animal life has already been affected by oil and gas development. This development has also forced the bowhead whale to migrate further off the coastline of Barrow. Should these trends continue, the source of life for my people will decrease and we will have to suffer with it. The reason for this is that on the North Slope there is no viable long-term subsistence for -- substitute for We have very few renewable resources. subsistence. able resource comes from the sea and the land. We have some negative -- Native arts, which are dependent upon the subsistence Manufacturing is not economical here on the North activities. Slope. Although there is presently a case economy in Barrow, it has come with oil and will probably leave with it. could occur in 40 to 50 years. At that time the Inupiats will

be left to survive on subsistence or suffer the indignity of
welfare. In serving and providing for my people, I cannot
condone or allow this to happen. For these reasons, I person-
ally oppose the proposed oil and gas lease Sale 71. However,
I am a realist. Over the past 80 years the American people have
become culturally dependent upon the automobile and to relative
inexpensive gasoline much the same as the Inupiat care dependent
upon the bowhead whale to survive. The reduction of Inupiat
to a dead culture is not sufficient reason in the eyes of the
United States to stop the proposed Sale 71. Therefore, the
position of the North Slope Borough is that there should be a
minimum delay of at least two years. This would allow the
Borough to implement its coastal management program, the scien-
tific communities to conduct additional Arctic research and the
federal government to fully develop a national Arctic policy.
The coastal management program, additional research and an
Arctic policy will better enable the United States government
to assess the cumulative impact on this development on the
Arctic environment and the Inupiat people to hopefully prevent
their cultural extinction. The North Slope Borough will submit
written comments to support this position.

H.O.: Thank you, Mr. Ahvakana. Thank Mayor Brower for us.

MR. AHVAKANA: I respectfully submit this to you.
H.O.: Yes, please. Thank you. We'll give that

1	to the reporter. And you'll be submitting other written test-
2	imony.
3	MR. AHVAKANA: Okay, fine.
4	H.O.: Thank you, Mr. Ahvakana. It's five
5	o'clock. We'll stand in recess for about ten minutes and if
6	there are other people who have signed up to testify, we'll
7	come back in about ten minutes.
8	(Off record)
9	(On record)
10	H.O.: Mr. Daniel Leavitt would like to testify
11	After Mr. Leavitt testifies, is there anyone else in the audiend
12	who would like to testify before seven o'clock?
13	MRS. SOLOMON: (indiscernible - too far from
14	microphone)
15	H.O.: Oh, all right.
16	MRS. SOLOMON: Yeah. (speaks Inupiat)
17	H.O.: All right. Thank you. Mr. Daniel
18	Leavitt?
19	DANIEL LEAVITT
20	testified as follows:
21	MR. LEAVITT: My name is Daniel Leavitt. I was
22	born 1916, June 25, in Barrow. (speaks Inupiat)
23	MRS. SOLOMON: He wants to talk about how an
24	Inupiat lives.
25	(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

24

25

MRS. SOLOMON: He was raised by Inupiat parents and he would like to get across how -- to the panel, how -- how they lived in those days.

(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: His grandfather -- his grandfather taught him about the ocean and land and which one was better -- better place to hunt.

(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He had -- he had told him that if he lived on the shore -- shoreline, it would be easier for him to survive because of the hunting habit. (ph)

(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: And he told him if he hunt interior -- I mean in the land, he said there comes a time when the animals disappear.

(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: Whatever he was taught by his grandfather he has found to be true by going through it himself.

(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: In his lifetime he had one -- one time when he had to go without food for three days, and he realized it is unbearable to go without any -- anything to hunt for.

(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: And for that reason it is going to

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

be hard for him to say yes, to go ahead and drill in that certain area, because of that. And he wants to have the people take care of the ocean the best way they can.

(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said if -- if -- if the people lose for drilling, if they go -- went ahead and drilled, the Native people lose.

(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: If -- if that happens, the time will come when their own people will be subject to famine.

(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: And he said he is thinking about after fighting the people that wants to drill and losing, and if there's a third war going on, what will the -- what will the people do, United Sta- -- what will the United States do to remedy if a drill has oil spill, because he knows no matter how big or strong a drill rig will be, he knows that will be not true, it can -- something can happen to it.

(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: This -- this will be like a Christian to the people here. What if the planes are stopped and ships who carries supplies to the Native people? If they are stopped, after destroying the animals that -- species in the ocean and inland, after destroying them, what is there that they will do to help out, if there's such a thing?

I'm not sure I understand, Mrs. Solomon.

2	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I think what he's trying
3	to say is that if transportation is over and there's no sub-
4	sistence resources, how will the people survive.
5	H.O.: I see. I guess I wasn't understanding
6	how he got to transportation being stopped.
7	MRS. SOLOMON: He said if there's a
8	H.O.: What would cause that?
9	MRS. SOLOMON: The war, third war. War.
ιο	H.O.: Oh, I beg your pardon. All right, okay.
11	(Mrs. Solomon and Mr. Leavitt speak Inupiat)
12	MRS. SOLOMON: He was saying that if there should
13	be a war and the ships and planes are not allowed to come to
14	Barrow
15	H.O.: How would the people live?
16	MRS. SOLOMON: The people he said
17	(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)
18	MRS. SOLOMON: And what will they do to help the
19	Barrow people, Native people, if the transportation of food is
20	stopped? I mean supplies.
21	H.O.: You're you're saying that the popu-
22	lation is so great now that you cannot rely on on subsistence
23	taking of animals and and mammals for the people without
24	other food being sent in?
25	(Mrs. Solomon and Mr. Leavitt speak Inupiat)

1 MRS. SOLOMON: I think he said that... (speaks 2 Inupiat). 3 MR. LEAVITT: Yeah. 4 MRS. SOLOMON: He said that after taking the 5 animals away, the... 6 H.O.: I see. 7 MRS. SOLOMON: ...from drilling, when the animals 8 are killed by oil spills or whatever it is. He didn't mean 9 that... 10 (Mrs. Solomon and Mr. Leavitt speak Inupiat) 11 MRS. SOLOMON: He said that if there should be 12 a war, the people, about five thousand of them, that are not 13 allowed to go back to their own home -- home towns, he said 14 there will be famine. 15 H.O.: I beg your pardon? 16 MR. REID: I think he's talking about the workers 17 in Prudhoe that are here. 18 H.O.: Does he -- Mr. Leavitt? 19 MRS. SOLOMON: He said that there will be more 20 people if there should be a drilling -- there will be more, maybe 21 five thousand more people from Lower 48 coming up here, and he's 22 talking about that. 23 H.O.: Okay, I understand what you're saying. 24 I was thinking that you meant five thousand people who were born 25

here and who were maybe concentrated in this area, and -- and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

~~

22

23

24

25

would not go back to their -- their -- their own villages. But you're talking about people who -- who come in to work on the oil rigs. I see, okay.

(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said if -- if a war should have started and these people can't go back to their respective homes, then they will have to be fed by the Eskimo people that know how to survive and hunt. He said that there will be that many more people to feed.

(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said if there's -- if there's more drilling to happen here, there will -- there will be these people that won't even have time to go back where they come from if there should be a war. And the -- the -- they won't be warned about the war that's coming up if -- if it comes suddenly.

(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: What his grandfather had told him, most of what he had said has come true, happened, and he believes that there -- there will be a war real soon, because what his grandfather had said had come true.

(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said while -- while these older people were living, there -- there was caribou, and he said there will come a time when the caribou will no longer stay

23

24

25

up in this land.

(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He told him after the animals had got small in number or disappeared, then a person will have to think -- think real hard.

(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said then there will be hard -- hardship when this happens, and he said he hasn't reached that, but he added that this has -- like right now is happening, because there's no answer for what -- what the people are discussing.

H.O.: He's seen a lot of changes in his life-

(Mrs. Solomon and Mr. Leavitt speak Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said while he was living until he reached 16, there was nothing to worry about.

(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: And right now he -- he has come to a time that he has to -- like you people are thinking.

(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He said he can live the way the caucasians live and he can live the way the Native people live. He has tried both of them.

(Mr. Leavitt and Mrs. Solomon speak Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: But once in a while -- but some-

1 times he feels that he should go back to the way of living like Inupiat. 2 (Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat) 3 4 MRS. SOLOMON: Then there's no worries or... 5 (Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat) 6 MRS. SOLOMON: He said you people have some 7 hardships, too, like the people have, but this -- this thing 8 we're talking about -- and he don't want -- want to lose the 9 mammals in the ocean and -- because most of what is left in the 10 land are almost gone, too. 11 (Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat) 12 MRS. SOLOMON: He is also a captain for a whaling 13 crew. 14 (Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat) 15 MRS. SOLOMON: And his sons are taking over, 16 learning how to hunt whales, because they will be taking over. 17 (Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat) 18 MRS. SOLOMON: He said when you're on a watch, 19 watch out for whales to come up, and you don't see any whales 20 for 24 hours, that's something that's really almost unbearable. 21 (Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat) 22 MRS. SOLOMON: He would rather not accept --23 accept any money, even to go through a thing like this. 24 (Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat) 25 MRS. SOLOMON: He is concerned for the younger

1	generation, about hunting.
2	(Mr. Leavitt speaks Inupiat)
3	MRS. SOLOMON: This is the final thing, but he
4	will have to speak again when he has to some other time.
5	H.O.: Thank you. Is Is Oliver Leavitt you
6	son? Is Oliver Leavitt your son?
7	MR. LEAVITT: No, he's my he's my nephew.
8	H.O.: Nephew?
9	MR. LEAVITT: Yeah.
10	H.O.: Thank you, Mr. Leavitt, appreciate it.
11	Thank you.
12	MR. LEAVITT: Thank you, Alice.
13	H.O.: There was someone else who wanted to
14	testify. Will you spell his name for me, please?
15	HORACE AHSOGEAK
16	testified as follows:
17	MR. AHSOGEAK: Horace Ahsogeak is my name and
18	this is my
19	MRS. SOLOMON: A-h-s-o-g-e-a-k.
20	MR. AHSOGEAK: Um-hum. A-h-s-o-g-e-a-k.
21	MRS. SOLOMON: Horace.
22	COURT REPORTER: What is his first name?
23	MRS. SOLOMON: Horace.
24	H.O.: Horace?
25	MR. AHSOGEAK: Horace.

25

MRS. SOLOMON: That's H-o-r-a-c-e.

H.O.: Yeah, but A-h-s-o-g-e-a-k?

MRS. SOLOMON: A-h-s-o-g-e-a-k.

H.O.: Ahsogeak, right?

MRS. SOLOMON: Yes.

H.O.: Okay, all right.

(Mr. Ahsogeak speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He would like to thank those people who testified against drilling.

(Mr. Ahsogeak speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He is opposed to drilling in the islands and in the sea, offshore.

(Mr. Ahsogeak speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He -- he watch movie on -- in Mexico -- that were washed ashore and saw some ducks that were slaughtered by oil spill, killed by oil.

MR. AHSOGEAK: A few years ago. (speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: And he also watched when there

was an oil spill, the whales were -- had to go through that

-- that area, and they didn't go through because of the oil spill.

MR. AHSOGEAK: Well, it's a hundred mile long, thirty mile wide, three hundred feet deep, <u>having</u> (ph) cross there, couldn't make it, because the whale that died. <u>It cost</u> (ph) forty whale, maybe more -- more that whale, you know. A lot of whale. No more whale to hunt <u>under the ground</u>. (ph)

23

24

25

Mexico -- in Mexico -- man coming to here -- to here (indiscernible) from Mexico. He talk about, they had lots of whale on the beach all day long. That's what he say. (speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He wants to get this across to young people, what he saw on the TV movie, because it is what the young people should see, what was -- what happened over there. And they could order the film, because he wants them to remember what he saw in that movie. And if they want to see it, they can order -- if they can order the movie, the film.

(Mr. Ahsogeak speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: And he said if there should be an oil spill, the same thing will happen like it did in Mexico. But he said if there's an oil spill, it will go clear up to Canada.

(Mr. Ahsogeak speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He has a picture of an oil rig that has five foundations, or whatever, on it in Norwegian --Nor- -- Norway, maybe, that this...

MR. AHSOGEAK: Yeah, Norway.

MRS. SOLOMON: That just slopped over, fell down.

(Mr. Ahsogeak speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: And killed over one hundred people.

23

24

25

(Mr. Ahsogeak speaks Inupiat)

MRS. SOLOMON: He wants these -- he wants to get across to the young people what he saw. And he hasn't much to say, but the people here has said most of what can be said.

MR. AHSOGEAK: Yeah.

MR. REID: Thank you.

H.O.: Mr. Hugo Engel?

HUGO ENGEL

testified as follows:

MR. ENGEL: My name's Hugo Engel, and I would be a liar to say that I was an expert on anything, and I just thought I'd come over this evening and share a few of my experiences with you.

H.O.: Are you -- do you live in Barrow, Mr.

Engel?

MR. ENGEL: Yes, ma'am. I've been here for five years this month.

H.O.: Where do you get your mail?

MR. ENGEL: Post Office Box 315.

H.O.: Thank you. Go ahead.

MR. ENGEL: Okay. I've been a lineman up here for the General Telephone Company for almost five years now.

And it was my experience four years ago last New Year's that, in spite of the fact I had four days off, at 8:30 in the morning my boss came over to the house and says, "Hey, Hugo, we've got

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a problem." And I was busy sawing logs and trying to get some sleep, and I guess -- "Well, okay. What's the problem?" And he goes, "Well, the ice is moving. We've got a pole down." was about 8:30. Well, by about 9:30 we had lost almost the entire series of utility poles going all the way down the beach over here on the west end of town. And personally, I was quite amazed to see what a piece of ice could do to a utility pole that was about a foot and a half to two feet in diameter, and especially if you take into account the fact that these same utility poles were fifty to seventy-five feet, minimum, away from the actual shoreline. Some of these poles were as high as twenty feet up a cliff away from the ocean. And not being a mathematician, there is no way I can tell you how many millions of pounds of force it would take to take a piece of ice three feet thick, about twenty feet long, and stand it on end and then flop it over.

H.O.: That's with...

MR. ENGEL: And huge, massive pieces of ice, and they were being shoved right straight up this cliff and they were still coming in. We went out in the dark to relieve the tension on our cables. As it turned out, we ended up losing all the emergency services for the city of Barrow. It -- it wiped out a particular cable that fed the fire department, police department, and the entire west end of town. We ended up spending the whole weekend trying to put everybody back into

1

6 7

5

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

2425

service. But it -- just reflecting back on the different times that I have been confronted with the powers of Mother Nature, having almost been buried in an avalanche, drowned out in the Pacific Ocean in the middle of a typhoon when I was in the service. I was in the city of New Orleans when half of it got flooded by Hurricane Camille back in 1969. I -- I've witnessed these different things that have happened in my life, and it scares me. There is a power out there that is just beyond all comprehension. And...

H.O.: What time of year -- what time of year was it when the ice came in and...

MR. ENGEL: It was just at New Year's.

H.O.: At New Year's. I -- I guess I...

MR. ENGEL: Yeah, it was just at New Year's. It was either December 30th or December 31st. And it wasn't just a small isolated section. This went along for several city blocks, all the way down to -- by the Youth Center down over here. I don't know if you know where the Youth Center is. And then all of a sudden, it just abruptly stopped where the ice had come in in one particular direction, and it wasn't perpendicular with the beach at all. It was running at -- at an odd angle almost directly out of the west. So it was scraping along the beach and then coming up and in. And it was -- it was strange. In fact, I was on a pole while the ice was moving it around and it was quite frightening, I'll tell ya. But you know

17 18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

I was relating back on -- one guy that didn't believe it had ever happened. It was a guy I knew personally, guy by the name of Harry Truman. And I had climbed Mount Saint Helens, and that was where I was almost killed in an avalanche back in May of '63. And Harry said it wouldn't happen, whole mountain went. There is a tremendous force in this world. And I was trying to get some information from Mike Jeffrey while -- they're talking of putting these gravel pads out there high -- high above the ocean. I've already seen that ice climb twenty feet. I've already seen what it can do after it's climbed that height. I know the power behind it, and it scares And I have a lot of respect for Daniel and Horace and these people who have been here so much longer than I have. seen so very little. How much more do I have to experience? But this is what I have experienced. I saw that ice. what it can do. And it is, it's awesome. Basically, that's what I wanted to say.

H.O.: Thank you. That's all...

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: May I ask one question from the audience. We don't normally...

H.O.: You don't normally...

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (simultaneous speech) but was there shore fast ice zone (ph) that the ice was being pushed up over or...

MR. ENGEL: There was almost no warning whatso-

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ever.

that...

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I mean was there a solid front of ice that wasn't moving that the movable ice was being pushed over the top and then...

MR. ENGEL: No.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: So there wasn't a buffer of

MR. ENGEL: No.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Thank you.

MR. ENGEL: It just started moving and...

H.O.: Thank you for that clarification.

MR. ENGEL: ...nobody really got a warning. In fact, one guy, he was going out to put his garbage out. He lived right there next to the Youth Center. And all he sees is this massive wall of ice twenty feet high coming at him, and it was making almost no noise whatsoever.

H.O.: Thank you. Is there anyone else here who would care to testify at this time? If not, I believe we will stand adjourned until eight o'clock, at which time we'll recommence taking testimony. Thank you all very much.

(Off record)

(On record)

H.O.: We're back on the record now. For those of you who have come in since we began this afternoon... Excuse me. For -- for those of you who have come in since this after-

22

23

24

25

noon, let me tell you again the purpose of -- of this hearing. It's a hearing on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for proposed oil and gas leasing in the Harrison Bay area in what is called the Diapir Field propose oil and gas lease offering number 71 by the Department of the Interior in the offshore Harrison Bay area. Apparently there has been some confusion as to what the purpose of the hearing is. It's to help the Department of the Interior have accurate facts and -- and good analysis in its environmental impact statement. So the specific of the hearing is to -- to correct any error or to add any facts that you may have with respect to the impacts that can be foreseen of a decision to lease in the Harrison Bay area offshore. I'd like to introduce the panel again, for those of you who have come in late. Mr. Jerry Reid from Fish and Wildlife Service, also repre- -- representing the Assistant Secretary for Fish, Wildlife and Parks. Mr. Ralph Ainger from Washington, D.C., representing the Bureau of Land Management and the Assistant Secretary for Land and Water. And Mr. Barry Boudreau, representing the Minerals Management Service. And I'm Esther Wunnicke, manager of the Alaska Outer Continental Shelf Office. We have a ten-minute time limit on testimony. We've not been enforcing it, in that -- that we haven't been too pressed with the number of witnesses and have let people have their say. Everything that you testify to will be transcribed verbatim. You also have the option of submitting additional comments in writing, or

And we will accept

Is Mr.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MRS.

MRS. MONGOYAK: Before we begin, may I interpret.

up this evening to testify is Mr. Warren Matumeak.

H.O.: Yes, surely.

MRS. MONGOYAK: ...what you said? (speaks

Inupiat)

H.O.: Thank you, Emma.

WARREN MATUMEAK

you prefer not to testify orally and want to submit all -- all

those written comments until February 12th at the Alaska OCS

written comments, we'd appreciate your giving a copy to the

court reporter and we'd also appreciate having three copies,

which Miss Gottlieb will take, to assist our staff in incorpor-

ating your remarks and responding to your remarks in the final

environmental impact statement. The first person who has signed

Office, which is Post Office Box 1159, Anchorage. If you have

of your testimony in writing, you may do so.

testified as follows:

Matumeak here? Yes?

MR. MATUMEAK: My name is Warren Matumeak. I've lived here in Barrow most of my life. I am the administrator of the North Slope Borough interim (ph) zoning ordinance. This ordinance, adopted by our assembly, creates a geophysical hazard (ph) sub-district beyond the 12-meter water depth where petroleum drilling is prohibited. While this ordinance does not specifically apply to the area you are proposing to lease, it is representa-

22

23

24

25

tive of -- of our policy regarding oil exploration and production seaward (ph) of the 12-meter depth. Representatives from the oil industry have assured us that they have the technology to drill in deeper water and that they have the capability to clean up oil spills. Currently, we do not accept either of these claims. Your environ- -- environmental impact statement admits that very little is understood about the frequency and extent of ice movement in the land fast ice zone. We agree that very little is written about this subject and that industry does not understand it. We understand it. I myself have seen an oil -- ice override right up to about 20-foot bluff, and this -- this area is just the far end of this town, and it's just a bluff; it doesn't have the -- the slope like an ice island would have. That is why we have prohibited oil exploration beyond twelve meters. This area is not safe for the kinds of activities which we observe going on now in the Prudhoe Bay area, nor do we consider it safe for the kinds of structures we have seen proposed or constructed in the Canadian Beaufort. We have allowed a gravel island to be built in twelve meters of water so that industry may gain more knowledge of this area and so that they can demonstrate their capabilities to us. Once we are satisfied that industry has solved the problems of dealing with initial (ph) environment, we would then be willing to consider those activities further out. Until that time, we must object to the selling of leases in these areas.

Regarding the claim that ABSORB can clean up the oil spills,
we feel that they can handle the small ones okay, but not the
larger ones. They have chemicals the absorbents the
ABSORBs planned to use on some oil spills, and these disper-
ents (ph). Now, disbursents can disburse the oil, but it doesn
clean it up. It's dirty. It will it will stick to other
animals that that are in the water, the fish and whatever
the mammals eat. So this this type of cleanup is no good.
It it just it just it'll just hurt the animals. We
want to work with the industry so that they may better under-
stand what we are talking talking about and so that we may
feel comfortable in the extent of their knowledge and capabili-
ties. We are working on a coastal management plan for our
area and feel that the very minimum you should do is to allow
us to complete this plan before you lease any areas beyond the
12-meter water depth. Thank you.

H.O.: Any questions or comments? What is the status of your coastal zone management plan now?

MR. MATUMEAK: Well, we -- we're still -- we're still at it, working hard. We've got people working at it all the time.

H.O.: What -- when will it be presented to the state, or do you have a time?

MR. MATUMEAK: Probably it will be completed sometime this summer, hopefully.

1	H.O.: Sometime this summer.
2	MR. MATUMEAK: Then then it's gotta go
3	through scrutiny again before the final one can be adopted.
4	H.O.: Okay. Thank you.
5	(unidentified voice speaks Inupiat)
6	MR. MATUMEAK: Okay. They want me to say this
7	in Eskimo, okay?
8	H.O.: Really, the purpose of the hearing is
9	is for the for the record here.
10	MR. MATUMEAK: Are we on the air? No?
11	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Some people don't under-
12	stand what he's saying. That's why they want it interpreted.
13	H.O.: I I understand that, but it it's
14	it's a public hearing and the purpose is is to educate
15	the panel here.
16	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: But it also means that the
17	public needs to be able to understand.
18	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Right, that's right, so
19	they won't make duplicate
20	H.O.: So they won't duplicate his testimony?
21	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Right.
22	H.O.: Okay. Go ahead.
23	MR. MATUMEAK: Okay. This are we on the air
24	or not?
25	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yes.

1	
1	(Mr. Matumeak speaks Inupiat)
2	(Unidentified voice speaks Inupiat)
3	H.O.: I'm sorry, I'm sorry, but unless you're
4	testifying here
5	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I'm asking a question.
6	H.O.: You can go back and answer the question.
7	Have you finished?
8	MR. MATUMEAK: Yes.
9	H.O.: All right. Thank you very much. Let's
10	call a brief recess. Go off the record.
11	(Off record)
12	(On record)
13	H.O.: The next person I have listed is Barbara
14	Bodenhorn.
15	BARBARA BODENHORN
16	testified as follows:
17	MS. BODENHORN: My name is Barbara Bodenhorn.
18	I'm gonna give sort of a three-part testimony.
19	H.O.: Before you begin, can I ask for your
20	mailing address?
21	MS. BODENHORN: Certainly. It's Box 437.
22	H.O.: In Barrow?
23	MS. BODENHORN: In Barrow, right.
24	H.O.: Thank you.
25	MS. BODENHORN: The first part is just a very

7

8

6

9

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

2122

2324

25

personal comment of my own. The second part is as Director of Social Services for the Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope. And the third part is to enter into the record, as temporary Executive Director of the Inupiat Community, a lawsuit which is supportive of Inupiat rights beyond the three-mile limit, which I think is very relevant. We have brought a copy of that, if you...

H.O.: We don't have it as a part of our record, but we're familiar with -- with the suit. Thank you.

Okay. I haven't been here very MS. BODENHORN: I've only -- I've lived here for maybe a little over a year and a half, but even during that year and a half there have been many, many, many hearings and many, many, many people giving testimony. One of the things that has struck me is how patient the people of the North Slope have been, how showing in good faith they are that these hearings do something, people come and say, "I've told you this, and I told you this last year, and I told you this five years ago." I'm just struck with how patient people are. One of the -- one of the images that has been spoken over and over again is, "The ocean is the The ocean is my garden. The ocean feeds my dinner table." which is all true. But I think -- I was trying to think of what kind of an image would -- would maybe hit people in the Lower 48 with the sense of urgency that -- that it feels to me is there, and that image might be a nuclear reactor being

24

25

1

built in your backyard. It's not just a question, because for most of us a garden is a hobby. And what comes out of the Chukchi Sea is not a hobby. But if we were to have a nuclear reactor built in our backyard, it would be a feeling of threat, of disaster, of -- of change that could never, ever be brought It would be a threat to our children. It's -- it's a sense of powerlessness of -- that somebody else is -- is making a decision that has nothing to do with our own lives and our own living. And -- and I think that that -- at least for me. that strikes a parallel that I feel. Many people have said. "Yes, I'm Eskimo. I eat Eskimo food." That's definition, that's an equasion. "I am an Eskimo. I eat Eskimo food." If you take one away, you take the other away. And it's not just a question of -- it helps to support identity. It's a question that one is the other. It's -- I'm sort of moving into Social Services testimony, trying to talk about what the social impact might be. When I -- when you read through the summary here, it says, even in the second paragraph, "69 oil spills are probable over the 30-year life of the oil field." I don't -and -- and it says, later on towards the end, that "It is very likely that individual bowhead whales and possibly major portions of the bowhead whale population could interact with various noise sources or human activities associated with the proposed sale." I know that when -- when hunters go out whaling, that they must be very, very quiet and they must wear white, not

23

24

25

because bowhead whale could react to noise, but that they do, and they have, and I -- I guess what I'm saying is that the impact on the marine population will be significant. It's not that it could be significant, so that I don't want to try to talk about that. I want to try to talk about how that might effect people. It's -- I think it's real important that in this five-page summary you have one very, very tiny paragraph, says, "Social problems likely with this and other proposed lease sales in the area could include increased alcoholism, family breakup and conflict, generational conflict, and increasing alienation on the part of Inupiat residents, particularly in Barrow," period. That's it. I would expect it's because those issues are so big that you can't even start to talk about them. You know, you can't -- you can't address them here in any The practice of -- of subsistence hunting, as has real way. been documented by Rosita Worl, as has been documented over and over again, is not just getting food. It's a way of being. a way of being a family. It's not just men going out either to hunt caribou, or to hunt whale, or to -- to go fishing. what happens to an entire family. Last spring -- I obviously don't know everybody in Barrow, but I do not know one single Inupiat person who is not involved in whaling in some way, and in some way that was a positive way. When you go fishing, you go fishing as a family. It's something that keeps families together. It's also something that keeps families pretty self-

sufficient, and these are times that self-sufficiency is getting 1 to be of increasing importance. The greatest part of our 2 Social Services program, at least up until maybe last year, was 3 the giving of general assistance. That's financial assistance. 4 I think there's a significant difference here between this 5 region and many of the other regions of Alaska, and that difference 6 is that people come to us maybe once or twice in a year. When 7 I've compared notes with other agencies, tribal organizations, 8 social services agencies, in the state, their -- the degree to 9 which they have to support their members financially every month 10 is much greater. Part of that is due to different kinds of 11 employment possibilities here. A great deal of that is due 12 to people's determined self-sufficiency. People don't want 13 to come and ask for money. I mean, we're a tribal organization. 14 Part of -- part of the -- part of a tribe's duty is to support 15 it's members. But people are very, very invested in being able 16 to do it on their own. But if they had to buy -- before you 17 leave, it's probably pretty important, if you haven't done this 18 19 already, is go over to Straukpuk (ph) and go over to Cash and 20 Carry and just take a look at some of the prices. Half a gallon 21 of milk is \$4.50, I think. I gallon of distilled water is 22 \$5.95. Part of the -- part of the pressures of the population 23 here is that -- that it's real difficult to get water that's 24 safe to feed your baby right now, so you have to buy distilled 25 If you're talking about increased population pressures,

25

especially in Nuiqsut, you're gonna be running into the same problems, only worse, especially in the beginning. The Native diet has been analyzed and I am sorry that I cannot cite you the exact numbers of places where it has been nutritionally But if you compare a traditional Inupiat diet to what we consider to be a balanced white diet, the traditional diet is much lower in polyunsaturated fats and higher in vitamins and minerals, because it's seal oil and things like The -- it's an extremely well-balanced diet. When you switch that diet to a very heavily -- it's -- you're not -you're not switching from a balanced Inupiat diet to a balanced store-bought diet; you're switching from a balanced Inupiat diet to an unbalanced store-bought diet, because you don't have the same access to fresh vegetables. You're going from polysaturated -- polyunsaturated fats to a great deal of hamburgers and french fries without the vegetables and without the fruits. It's not a parallel kind of switch. When you're just looking at economics, this is -- switches back to when I was saying that -= that as an organization we have not had to give out the same kind of financial assistance as -- as many other organizations throughout the state. If you take away the food supply, if you take away the sense of self that says I'm not gonna ask, you increase the food stamps and you increase the public assistance and you increase whole sets of existences that say that -- that necessitate public support and financial support. When

23

24

25

you're talking about social impact. I don't -- it's very difficult to compare the being one people against, you know, billions of gallons of oil. I don't think it's so difficult to compare the possibility of doing permanent damage to renewable resources when you're getting a finite amount of non-renewable resources. But I don't think that assumption has been made. I don't think -- if -- if those comparisons or -- or speculations have been made. I haven't seen them. Just -- I think that, for myself, just to sum up the Social Services testimony, that health factors need to be taken into consideration, and when you're talking about a diet that's gonna be radically changed if people cannot eat the way they have been eating, just in terms of being who you are, eating Inupiat food is being Inupiat. And in terms of costs to the government, you're talking about, I think, producing a certain amount of real long-range dependency, and I don't know how long that long-range -- and it may get I mean the -- certainly the kinds of construction jobs that are gonna give the -- give the employment are gonna stop There's long-range economic once the houses have been finished. impact that I think needs to be taken into consideration. seems to me the entire Diapir Field is outside of the threemile limit. And now speaking as temporary Executive Director, I'd just like to read into the record the introduction and the summary of the argument. I'm certainly not going to try to -try to have -- work out the whole thing.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

H.O.: What is the status of that suit, if I The complaint has been filed. Has an answer been mav ask? filed?

> MS. BODENHORN: I am unsure.

There have been no hearing?

MS. BODENHORN: Can somebody help me? the answer has not been filed. Okav.

H.O.: Okay.

MS. BODENHORN: "For thousands of years the _ Inupiat of Alaska's North Slope have used and occupied vast areas of the Beaufort and Chukchi waters and sea ice. As part of their homeland, these areas have served as the locus for subsistence, religious and cultural activities constituting the foundation for Inupiat culture and existence. In present times the discovery of oil resources and the promise of huge profits has led to exploitation of the area by private oil companies pursuant to leases from government authorities. Rights to a great part of the Inupiat homeland have never been surrendered or granted by the Inupiat, nor purchased or otherwise acquired by the United States or its lessees. This suit raises the question whether the United States and those claiming under it can ignore unextinguished Inupiat rights of title and jurisdiction in areas of the Beaufort and Chukchi Seas beyond the three-mile limit of the State of Alaska. The plaintiffs are in various ways representative of a broad class of the Arctic

24

25

Slope Natives. The Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope, which is suing on its own behalf and on behalf of its members, is a sovereign Indian tribe, recognized by the United States, and is the successor to the aboriginal rights and sovereign powers of the Inupiat people of the Arctic Slope. Ukpeagvik Inupiat Corporation is the Native village corporation for Barrow and represents its individual shareholders. Two individual Native allottees are named plaintiffs as representatives of the class of all Inupiats on the Arctic Slope who may have property, occupancy, hunting or fishing rights in the case area. dants have not in their motions challenged plaintiffs' standing to bring this action. The Inupiat plaintiffs seek a trial to determine the nature and extent of these rights and for their validation and enforcement. The rights of Native Americans are at times somewhat different from those familiar to the common law. Determining the val -- validity of these rights may require recourse to Native laws and customs. rights seem unusual or not to a non-native, they are 'not to be approached as if they were something anomalous or monstrous, difficult to concieve and more difficult to admit." That's a quote from Justice Holmes. "A Native people's sea-ice hunting activities far from land and the property rights which arise from them have not been the subject of extensive or definitive legal commentary. If the rights asserted by the Inupiats seem unusual, it is because the Inupiats are an unusual people opera-

20

21

22

23

24

25

ting in an unusual environment. However, the relevant facts and applicable legal concepts involved are not difficult. Inupiats have treated and continue to treat the sea ice as a portion of their domestic territory. The camp, hunt, fish, travel, eat, play and sleep on the ice for a substantial portion of their lives. Their traditional law evolving to meet the challenges which arise continue to govern the ice. Their seaice domain includes broad portions of the Beaufort and Chukchi The only boundaries recognized are those set each year by the natural cycle of freezing and breakup. For thousands of years the Inupiat's occupation of the sea ice was unchallenged by outsiders. The Russians, during their presence in Alaska, never approaced conquest or dominion over the Inupiat or their territory. Even after 114 years of American presence, the traditional hunting culture persists and the traditional sources of political authority are respected. Until relatively recently, the Inupiats had-little necessity to go to American courts for the definition or protection of their sea-ice rights. isolation was protection enough. Prior to oil development, the only intensive non-native activity on the North Slope was the whaling of the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. Although there is evidence that the Inupiats accepted the presence of the whalers, or at least some of them, the result of non-native exploitation was near extermination of a species and a severe blow to the ancient culture dependent upon it.

24

25

results are still deeply felt. According to the proposed fiveyear oil and gas OCS plan, we are now on the eve of a second major period of offshore economic exploitation. the oil companies, attempt to present a picture of an ordinary lease sale, the first of a series of ordinary lease sales, and ask that it be evaluated as if the Arctic ice were an ordinary navigable waterway rather than the ancestral hunting grounds of the Inupiat people, and an area whose legal status is far from clear and whose physical dynamics are uniquely alien to all but those who live there. In their impassive attempt to cast their own proposed actions as matters of course, defendants Amoco et al. misleadingly characterize the Inupiats' complaint as simply one of a pattern of suits constituting 'yet another chapter in the continuing campaign to impede development.' so-called pattern of suits should instead by viewed as a response to a sweeping pattern of intrusions threatening, in multiple ways, the physical and communal well-being of all the Inupiat. The proposed transformation of the Arctic sea ice into a giant oilfield would be a unique disruption of natural and human patterns which have been continuous for -- for millenia. Defendants' legal assumptions of regularity are simply in applicable to the Arctic environment and the rights of its people. The Inupiat people and their representatives have never authorized, ratified or consented to the joint federal/state OCS sale in the Beaufort Sea. By Resolution 80-1, plaintiff ICAS is

24

25

on record as opposing oil and gas leases in the Beaufort and the Chukchi Seas and asserts that all portions of the leasesale area more than three miles offshore are part of the domestic territory of the Inupiat people. The legal questions which arise are not difficult in their formulation. That Native subsistence activities can give rise to an enforceable property rights in areas far from land is well-established in American law, and particularly in cases involving Alaska and Hawaii. precise contours of the aboriginal right are to be determined by reference to the usages, laws and practices of the native people themselves. Whether the rights in question are in the mainstream of Anglo-American common law is irrelevant. Under these principles rights in exclusive fisheries, ocean waters and submerged lands have been recognized on numerous occasions by the courts and the Department of the Interior. have jurisdiction, based on their inherent sovereignty and recognized powers of self-government, to preserve and regulate the property right essential to their subsistence. Plaintiff ICAS is a federally-recognized regional tribal organization which has all the sovereign powers which pertain to Indian tribes and has attributes of sovereignty over its membership and its territory. Its membership consists of all the Inupiats of the North Slope, and includes virtually all subsistence users of the sea ice. The territory it occupies includes the entire North Slope, land and sea-ice areas alike. Territorial and

24

25

jurisdictional rights of a tribal entity are not dependent upon the existence of a reservation or a treaty. The Inupiat have actively exercised jurisdiction over their sea-ice hunting ground at every opportunity. In particular, the Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission, a native organization whose authority is derived from and delegated by the region's tribal organizations, regulates the Native bowhead hunt, whether within or beyond the three-mile limit, and is the sole such body recognized by the Inupiats. The Alaska Eskimo Walrus Commission operates similar-Neither property rights on the Inupiat sea-ice hunting grounds nor tribal juris- -- jurisdiction have been extinguished. The Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act by its terms does not pertain to native rights beyond the exterior boundaries of the State of Alaska. Further, the motivating purpose and thrust of ANCSA are inapplicable to the rights asserted here. was designed to clear title to lands in Alaska and had as its principal purpose the resolution of the chaotic land situation which threatened to freeze the state's economic development. Its purpose was also to confirm prior conveyances and tentative approvals of State land selections under the Alaska Statehood The rights asserted here are not in Alaska. They do not relate to land. They do not involve except contingently and indirectly any rights of the State of Alaska. Finally, ANCSA contains no provision which limits or affects tribal jurisdiction anywhere, whether in Alaska or outside its boundaries,

> 3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

nor is there any evidence that that was ANCSA's intent. Whatever jurisdiction ICAS has by virtue of its inherent sovereignty remains intact after the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act. The arguments of defendants Amoco et al. pertaining"...

H.O.: Please, can you sum up...

MS. BODENHORN: Okay.

H.O.: Okay. We have it as a part of the record, and I appreciate your reading that much, but I think we're gonna have to move along.

MS. BODENHORN: All right. Then I'd just like to read the final paragraphs. "The Inupiats also assert that defendants violate their rights under the American Indian Religious Freedom Act. The ice-occupancy rights involved are central to the Inupiats' subsistence culture and have a central place in Inupiat religious beliefs, both in their traditional and more modern Western-influenced forms. Defendants' activities threaten to deprive the Inupiats of access to sacred sites, will desecrate burial sites and graveyards, and will befoul and destroy the physical environment and animal life which are central to Inupiat religious beliefs. As a tribal group, the Inupiat are specially suited to bring the question of sea-ice rights before the court. The uniqueness of the Arctic sea-ice environment and the Inupiats' extensive use of it present fundamental questions of native rights which could be brought by no other plaintiffs. The Inupiats seek a trial to prove that

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	3
19	
20)
21	.
22	2
23	3

25

since time immemorial they possessed property and jurisdictional rights within the areas designated as federal or disputed in the federal/state joint Beaufort Sea OCS Sale and that those rights remain valid today."

H.O.: Okay. Any questions? Okay. Thank you, Barbara.

MS. BODENHORN: Um-hum.

H.O.: Ida Olemaun? Per- -- perhaps I mispronounced the name. O-1-e-m-a-u-n. How do you pronounce your -how do you pronounce your name?

MS. OLEMAUN: Olemaun.

H.O.: 01emaun.

IDA OLEMAUN

testified as follows:

MS. OLEMAUN: I'm Ida Olemaun and...

H.O.: And may I have your address, please?

MS. OLEMAUN: Box 6, Barrow.

H.O.: Box 6?

MS. OLEMAUN: Um-hum.

H.O.: Okay. Thank you.

MS. OLEMAUN: With a father that is a whaling captain and a hunter, I survived my childhood and still -- even though I'm married now with four children, four sons, I still have depend -- dependency on our wildlife here, both onshore and offshore. And it's a deli- -- delicacy for us. We have seasons

R & R COURT REPORTERS

where we have the fresh delicacies, which is during the spring. We -- we get the whale and we all look forward for it, and it's the best delicacy we have. And then during the -- the spring and summer we get the ducks. And everybody looks forward to hunt these, 'cause it's -- it's fresh -- fresh produce for us. during the fall time there's fall whaling, but yet due to the -- due to some people that are trying to ban it or put quotas on whaling, they didn't have any fall whaling. But yet at the same time, when I think about this, I wish they could put quotas on the oil rigs, 'cause it doesn't -- it's not fair at all. Here they -- they started drilling on land, and yet just as long as they didn't touch our ocean, our livelihood -- even though they touched our livelihood, which is the caribou, which we catch yearround, they still press to go out to drill offshore, and yet -- even my own peace of mind, I know they're gonna press it anyway, and they're still gonna do it anywhere. 'Cause no matter how much we fight, what are we accomplishing? It hurts us, it stabs our heart, 'cause they're attacking our own delicacies, both on land and now they are attacking our ocean. And also during the summertime we get the fresh walrus, fresh seal, fresh bearded seal, and we all look forward to these. And on my table I wouldn't want to eat something that stunk from oil. And according to your summary, which I've just glanced through, I want to quote -- that it will be direct interaction -- on page three. It is going to be direct interaction on the bowhead

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

population once they start drilling offshore. And once they start drilling offshore, I -- I went to Canada and saw a drilling rig, and we questioned those people, how they were going about, and they -- they were -- there are ships that go right back and forth right on their -- right next-door to them.

H.O.: Next-door to the whales?

MS. OLEMAUN: To their livelihood. Not only to the whales. Right where they are fishing, there's boats going right by where the nets are. That's one -- that was a great disappointment for me, and that's why I'm very much opposed-to this offshore drilling. And then once they start drilling on the ocean, when the -- when the ice gets rough, they can always move to another rig. They've got these certain rigs that are scattered all over, and once this rig -- once this rig gets too dangerous due to ice conditions, they just move onto another rig, and that's just gonna happen once they start drilling offshore. They're just gonna have dominance over our own hunting grounds, like what they're doing on land now. at those rigs in Prudhoe Bay. They started from few rigs, about two, three rigs. And I -- I presume you have been out there to see the sites. They're scattered all over now. once they start drilling offshore, you bet the same thing is gonna happen. And on this summary, we've been taught to eat -- not taught, we've lived -- we've been raised to eat these delicacies, 'cause my father was to -- we were too poor during

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2 5	

that time for him to have -- for him to go buy some food in the stores. And he's a hunter and he just buys some simple -- you know, like tea, coffee or sugar and milk, whatever he -- he can afford from the stores. But yet the food at home was always plentiful, 'cause he's got this freedom to go in and out whenever we run low on food. He knows that he can go out and hunt seal, caribou, for our table. And it still holds true today, but yet there's a lot of obstruction going on within our lives now, and we're fighting for it, and we're not gonna quit fighting for it, and we're not gonna keep shut about it. And on your summary, it's quoted that "However, it is possible that significant long-term effects on bowhead population behavior induced by various disturbance sources could occur as a result of the proposed sale." Yep, it's gonna have long-term effects on the whales. And that's all I have. Thank you.

H.O.: Questions or... Thank you. Mr. Ronald H. Brower? Is Mr. Ronald Brower here?

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Not here.

H.O.: Mr. ...

(simultaneous speech from the audience)

H.O.: He'll be back later? Mr. Robert

Harcharek? Did I pronounce your name correctly?

MR. HARCHAREK: Right here.

H.O.: Can you give us your address, please?

Thank you.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

testified as follows:

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

ROBERT HARCHAREK

MR. HARCHAREK: Box 312. I am Dr. Bob Harcharek

a multidisciplinary social scientist and educator. Barrow is home for me and my family. I am the director of technical assistance for Ukpeagvik Inupiat Corporation. Ukpeagvik Inupiat Corporation is a village corporation of Barrow, organized pursuant to Section 8 of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act. Because the proposed leasing and planned subsequent development of the Diapir Field will directly impact upon the Inupiat people of Barrow, as well as the residents of the entire coast of the North Slope of Alaska, we deem -- we deem it important to communicate our unqualified opposition to this proposed Lease Sale 71. We as a corporation of the Inupiat, whalers by tradition, are categorically opposed to any offshore drilling activities in our waters. We have studied the Diapir Field Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed oil and gas lease sale and related documents, including the U.S. Arctic Oil and Gas Report prepared by the National Petroleum Council. We have discussed these at length with many of the affected residents of the North Slope. Based upon our review, discussions and analyses, and upon my professional judgment and experience, it is our opinion that the lease sale as proposed would be disastrous to the cultural integrity and the physical survival of the Inupiat people. We believe that any such offshore

R & R COURT REPORTERS

activity is a violation of Inupiat cultural traditional law. 1 We also assert that the proposed offshore drilling activities 2 would be a violation of Inupiat rights guaranteed by the American 3 Indian Religious Freedom Act. The ice-occupancy rights involved 4 are essential to the Inupiat subsistence culture and have a 5 central place in Inupiat religious beliefs, both in their 6 traditional and more modern Western-influenced forms. 7 drilling activities threaten to befoul and destroy the physical 8 9 environment and animal life which are central to Inupiat religious beliefs. No technological safeguards or regulations have 10 been developed by industry or the government to insure that these 11 12 negative impacts can be eliminated or even mitigated to any appreciable degree. On the contrary, safeguards and regulations 13 14 required in previous lease sales have been deleted from the 15 guidelines for the Diapir Field sale. We view these accelerated 16 offshore hydrocarbon development efforts as impacting upon 17 the indigenous peoples in ways for which there are no precedents 18 in the history of mankind. The consequences of thrusting modern 19 Anglo-American civilization upon culturally distinct peoples, 20 whose survival and existence itself have depended for centuries 21 on the resources of land and sea, are poorly understood by the 22 decision makers, scientists and the hydrocarbon industrialists. 23 The present state -- the present state of scientific knowledge 24

R & R COURT REPORTERS

is deficient. Therefore, these consequences cannot be predicted

with any degree of confidence, nor in a scientifically reliable

25

23

24

25

For these reasons, we strongly recommend that the fashion. proposed Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Lease Sale 71 be canceled. Alternative two, offered in the Diapir Field Impact Statement, is that there be no sale. We endorse this alterna-If, by reason of this proposed development of hydrocarbon resources, substantial environmental consequences are suffered, it will not be the United States government, nor the oil companies, nor the people of the Lower 48 states who will bear the consequences of this action. Rather, it will be the Inupiat people of the Arctic region who will bear the burden. the stakes involved the very cultural existence of the Eskimo, there is no room for error, nor is there any such thing as a second chance. Today in the Arctic we have an extension and accelerated expansion of the industrial complex with all of its advantages, its problems and its tensions. Exploitation of physical resources overcome most other considerations. Arctic, the major energy resource development decisions have already been made. National interests and goals will continue to dominate resource development decision making. We are cognizant of these political realities. It is most desirable for the indigenous Inupiat and other residents of the Arctic, as well as being imperative for the nation as a whole, that federal, state and local governments, as well as the private institutions and industry, do everything within their power and means to help mitigate the powerful forces of conflict and change resulting

24

25

from hydrocarbon resource development, on the preservation of the culturally complex society of the Inupiat. It is critical -- it is of critical importance to determine and monitor cumulative environmental and societal impacts of existing as well as future hydrocarbon resource development efforts in the Arctic. The result of this research and monitoring must be utilized to moderate the accelerated hydrocarbon resource development The Diapir Field Impact Statement contains admissions that six to nine major oil spills are probable over the 30-year life of the oil field. The report reads: "Since the probability of finding oil in the proposed sale area is 99%, and since nine oil spills are predicted based on industry experience along the OCS, some oil spill damage to nearshore environments and iceassociated marine mammals and birds appears very likely." Elsewhere in the document, reductions in caribou and other terrestial mammal populations, birds, and certain marine mammal populations including polar bears and seals are projected to occur. While reductions of the bowhead whale populations, according to the environmental impact statement, are more difficult to predict, if reductions did occur, their effects would be extremely serious over the long term. In the report the predicted six to nine major oil spills are considered virtually unavoidable. The Diapir Field Statement states that "the mandate -- excuse me -- "that the magnitude of unavoidable impacts on endangered whales is unknown but potentially significant."

23

24

25

Elsewhere the document reads: It is very likely that an indeterminate degree of direct or indirect contact between at least a portion of the bowhead population and oil spills would occur. If this contact occurred, the whales may temporarily avoid or abandon affected areas because of short-term, localized change in productivity of food organisms. There is some chance that deleterious physiological responses of whales contacted by oil could occur. Certain ice conditions could on occasion lead to substantial portions of the population being exposed to such contacts. It is not precisely known if this interaction would be significant in relationship to the welfare of this endangered species, but it is possible that it may have long-term, significant implications to the welfare of the population." The E.I.S. statement reads further: "It is possible that endangered whales could be subjected to irreversible direct and indirect effects of oil spills, disturbance due to noise and other human activities and/or deterioration of the habitat due to facility develop-Whether such effects would lead to permanent or irreversible losses of whale resources is unknown." The report contains admissions that, "If bowhead whales were no longer available, there would be an irreversible and irretrievable loss of culture, meaning and purpose in Inupiat society. The combined risk of oil spills and other disturbances for this sale when combined with risks to the bowhead whale from Alaskan and Canadian Beaufort oil and gas development and tankering

R & R COURT REPORTERS

activity could contribute to these losses. There will be an 1 accelerated decline in the Inupiat social system which must 2 be considered an irretrievable loss." We cannot stand by and 3 see this destruction of the Inupiat culture. It would be con-4 sidered by many to be merely a blatant example of twentieth 5 century cultural genocide. The interest of the Inupiat people 6 and other residents of the North Slope is not to be taken lightly. 7 We seek joint effort, partnerships and input into all levels of 8 the decision making process. Our concerns with the quality of 9 life and the future of the Arctic are real and are not going to 10 be ignored. We are going to be involved. Our culture is at 11 stake. The very existence of the Inupiat hangs in the balance. 12 In addition to the cultural risks, the proposed sale would work 13 social and political havoc in the area. Employment and other 14 15 purported benefits to the local population, according to Diapir Field Draft Environmental Statement, are expected to be minimal. 16 17 The secondary impacts of secondary boom towns and their 18 attendant social ills, on the other hand, can have permanent 19 deleterious effects. For all practical purposes, this affront 20 to the Inupiat people is further compounded by the way the OCS 21 lease sale has been presented. Thus far they have been totally 22 unilateral on the part of the developers. The sales have been 23 scheduled without consideration -- without consultation with 24 Inupiat leaders by the United States government at the urging

of the oil companies. There is no sense of partnership or

25

22

23

25

cooperation with the people who must bear the brunt and pay the heavy social costs of development. The Inupiat people have not consented to these intrusions. On the contrary, the Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope, which is the regional-wide tribal organization, and Ukpeagvik Inupiat Corporation have already sued in an attempt to stop a much smaller lease sale, the joint federal/state lease sales centered in the Barrier Islands, on the grounds that unconsented-to development in the Inupiat offshore hunting grounds directly violates unextinguished property The United States, rather and sovereignty rights in the area. than waiting for the results of this lawsuit, has plowed ahead with an even bigger intrusion, without taking into consideration any of the issues or the deep-seated fears and frustrations the local population. The lease sale, from a strictly legal point of view, should be delayed at least until questions of unextinguished Inupiat rights can be dealt with in an orderly way by the courts. In conclusion, it is our contention that based upon the data presented in the Diapir Field Draft Environmental Impact Statement itself, the continued survival of the Inupiat requires that the Diapir Field lease sale be cancelled, or at the very minimum, be indefinitely postponed. torium on offshore development must remain in effect until a time when the aforementioned deleterious impacts can be eliminated. These safeguards must be guaranteed by both industry and the United States government. At stake is our survival. The Inupiat

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and the death of our way of life. The remaining alternatives set forth in the Diapir Field study involving deletions of portions of the tracts were made for valid reasons and in good faith, but are not comprehensive enough to be effective in guaranteeing the Inupiat access to the only sources of subsistence. Any plan for lease sales in the Arctic should at least demonstrate a sincere attempt to cooperate with the Inupiat. The Inupiat have proven that they are not completely anti-development, if they can be secure in the knowledge that irreplaceable subsistence resources will not be destroyed. Inupiat groups and individuals are cooperating in the development of the Kuparuk onshore development project. There, consultation among government, industry and indigenous groups will hopefully provide a model for orderly development with minimum negative impacts. On the contrary, the proposed Outer Continental Shelf sales, including the scheduled Diapir Field sale, exemplify an insensitive attempt to loot the North Slope of its assets with little regard for the well-being of the people who have lived here for thousands of years. This kind of action will only result in interminable litigation and increasing and unnecessary frustration on all sides. Quyanaq.

are facing both genocide and ethnocide, the death of our people,

H.O.: Questions? Thank you, Dr. Harcharek.

Mr. George Edwardson? Do you spell your last name with an "O"?

MR. EDWARDSON: Yes.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

H.O.: Can you give me your address, please,

GEORGE EDWARDSON

testified as follows:

Mr. Edwardson?

MR. EDWARDSON: My name is George Edwardson and I'm the director of natural resources for Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope, Box 437, Barrow.

H.O.: Thank you.

MR. EDWARDSON: Let me start off by reading.

the definition I picked up from the dictionary, and it's a word

that has been used practically in every paragraph in your environ
mental impact statement. That's "assume: To take for granted

without -- or without proof. To pretend to have." This is

what assume means, and your environmental impact statement could

not be written without this word.

H.O.: You're right about that.

MR. EDWARDSON: And the use of drill ships, this drill ship use, is this for summer use only? And the icebreakers, your backup systems for your offshore platforms you're gonna use, the last icebreaker we had -- we had seen come up north was the one that went back -- that drifted back with the current and couldn't control itself. And your -- your -- I have a copy of the best available and the safest technology written in the Federal Register, Friday, January 15, 1982. Let me just give you what your basic requirements -- "BAST requirements may, how-

23

24

25

ever, be specifically waived in instances where incremental benefits resulting from the use of BAST do not exceed the incremental costs involved in such use." Your best available technology is limited by the money that can be produced from the oil and gas offshore. This is what BAST says in the Federal Register on January 15th. And platforms have never been proven in the Arctic. They've never used 'em so far. Causeways, that has already been proven in our past, in my mental -- in our past Point Thompson hearings, that they are damaging. This has been recorded by the federal government. On your oil spill risk_ analysis, on page 100, you're using land-based data where there is no moving ice on top as the basis for creating your risk analysis. This is written on page 100. On page 101 you have accident rates of Gulf of Mexico and California used, and admit it, admit using, on page 101. And just to show you the kind of infor- -- the kind of protection we're gonna have, on page 102, I'll quote this: "In Prudhoe Bay operations approximately 1.826 billion barrels of oil have been produced as of June, 1981, There has been no major crude oil spills from platforms." Now, what kind of statement is this? There are no platforms in Prudhoe Bay. It's all land operation. You're using the words. You're twisting the meanings of the words around in your environmental impact statement. It -- another quote: "It must also be noted that these rates are based on only one spill and would substantially, with a single accident at Prudhoe Bay, change."

And then on page 104: "Three days to represent diminished 1 toxicity of the spill." Has this been proven that in the Arctic, 2 that in three days the gasoline will disappear, the lighter 3 gases will disappear? Has there ever been any tests done on 4 "Ten days to allow deployment of cleanup equipment." 5 In ten days your cleanup equipment is gonna be over a hundred 6 miles away from where you need to clean up. On page 107: "State 7 -- state of the art for containment and cleanup technology." 8 "State of the art" means we'll learn as we go along, that's the 9 understanding I have of "state of the art." On page 109: "With 10 so many variables an analysis of the mechanical behavior of 11 the ice is very complex. The interaction between sea ice and 12 structures have been investigated through theore- -- through 13

H.O.: Theoretical.

theoretical -- is that how you say the word?

MR. EDWARDSON: "Theoretical calculations, model tests, and full-scale measurements, but attempts to relate all these approaches have been only partially successful": Sackinger in 1980. Just nothing but guesswork. There's no proof in it. You can't -- you know, I can create theories and then throw them at you and then -- you wouldn't accept them. And going to the Federal Register again. On Monday, December 7, 1981, Federal Register, U.S. Federal Register. "Geological Survey: Outer Continental Shelf; Certification Verification Agents; Cessation of Application Acceptance." And going down to the

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

last section, what I want to point out is, "This temporary closing of the application period does not apply to the Arctic area and the CVA responsibilities for new structure in that OCS area. Applications will continue to be accepted through February 1, 1982." Then after that, they'll give 'em back. Was this law created just for this hearing? That's the impression it gives when you read the law. And then the protection we're gonna have under Title 30. I'm not gonna read every section out of you, but I'll give it to you and I'll show you. This is a summary of the changes made in the Federal Register. It turns red. This -- these are the protections that have been removed from us. They're all red.

H.O.: You mean as part of the -- the operating orders, is that...

MR. EDWARDSON: These -- these are the -- Title

30 that has been quoted through your environmental impact statements to show that there is protection for us in our home. This
-- this is coming from your Federal Register. And here's another
one: Department of Interior, August 20, 1981, Federal Register,
Final Ruling. On the second page, "Part 200, Forms and Reports:
200.1 amended by removing. Part 231: Operating Regulations
for Exploration, Development and Production: 231.25 removed,
231.30 removed." It just continues like that. And then here,
the Endangered Species Act. And here, the Endangered Species
Act, the scientific authority chapter has been removed, our

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

protection has been removed. Our ways of correcting our protection have been removed. And then here's a...

MR. BOUDREAU: Excuse me, could you repeat that, please? What section of the Endangered Species Act has been deleted?

MR. EDWARDSON: Chapters 8... I'll leave it here with you so you can see.

MR. BOUDREAU: Thank you.

MR. EDWARDSON: And then here, I have another article from Mechan- -- Mechanical Illustrated, and I just want to read one paragraph to you: "The problem is ice. Pack ice grinding against drilling platforms. Sea spray freezing to the machinery. Ice slabs piling a hundred feet high along the coastal shoals. Icebergs that gouge the ocean floor, snapping seabed pipelines like straws. Floating ice islands, fifteen stories high and ten miles long, that can crush a drilling platform in seconds." I just wanted to quote this Mechanical Illustrated to you. And then some more proof on the safe practices is recorded in the Federal Register, Thursday, November 5, 1981: "South Addition Vermillion Area; Report on Blowout and Fire." These are the safe methods being used where there is no ice. And then on -- on our social side and our health side, coming from the Federal Register again on January 15, 1982; "Summary, Office of the Secretary, National Environmental Policy Act and Related Acts. Summary: This notice provides a list of

24

25

departmental programs which will not require future environmental reviews," and in -- instead of going through them, I'll just leave that with you. And just to give you a background on how our population has lived up here, coming from the Bureau of Ethnology, in 1887 the population just on the coast, from the beginning of the Arctic Circle to Herschel Island, was over a hundred and fifty thousand people, just on the coast. coming from another government report called Eskimo Administration, done by the United States government, our population by 1950 was less than 1,300. We have said no as individuals. We have said no as communities. We have said no as regional, tribal governments. And in Point Thompson, we have said no as a total Alaska Native people. And we also have said no through National Con- -- Congress of American Indians as a total people of North America. And to top it off, we have also said no on the international level through our (indiscernible) Circumpolar Conference we have said no. I mean, what does it take to make that be understood? We have said no every form we can. Has the meaning of that word changed in the language?

H.O.: I would like to have (simultaneous speech)...

MR. EDWARDSON: I'll leave it all with you.

H.O.: All right. Thank you.

MR. EDWARDSON: Thank you.

H.O.: Thank you, Mr. Edwardson.

(applause from the audience)

R & R COURT REPORTERS

H.O.: Has Mr. Ronald Brower come in? Mr. Fred Bahr? B-a-h-r.

FRED BAHR

testified as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

MR. BAHR: I'm a little nervous, but my name is I'm an Inupiat. I'm a newcomer to Barrow. only been here one year. I'm a newcomer to the Inupiat way of life. I was raised by the white people, by missionaries in an orphanage in Southern Alaska. I worked for five years with, I've seen the pipeline and its effects from betow oil people. Fairbanks to Prudhoe Bay. I know how you people think and operate, and I feel more comfortable with the Inupiat way. From what I have seen and heard, offshore drilling in the Beaufort Sea would be foolish, a folly which would kill the life and the livelihood of the Inupiat people. I have only one question for I understand that there is a case now in litigation in which ICAS, the governing body of the Inupiat people, is suing the United States government, the governing body of the American people. This lawsuit questions the right of the United States to subdivide or otherwise touch any portion of the Arctic Sea from the three-mile limit. This lawsuit questions your right to sit before our people in this capacity. What I see here is a waste of the taxpayers' dollars, because until this lawsuit is settled, it is questionable whether there will be any use for the information which your department is supposedly collecting

in the interest of what you call democracy. Unless you intend
to continue full steam ahead with your plans to develop this
area regardless of the will of the Inupiat people and regardless
of whether or not you have clear right and title to the area
under question in this hearing, unless your plans are already
reversible, I do not understand your presence here at this time.
Why didn't you wait until your rights in this issue have been
legally determined? Because if this lawsuit is determined
in the favor of the Inupiat people, then your presence here
today will have been a total waste of time. How can you justify
your presence under these circumstances? And in closing, I
agree with what our people have said, that offshore drilling
in the Beaufort Sea is a definite no. You shouldn't you
shouldn't even have a draft environmental statement and you
shouldn't even be considering going out there. Thank you.
•

H.O.: Mr. Bahr, may I ask you what your address is, please?

MR. BAHR: P.O. Box 704, Barrow.

H.O.: Okay. Thank you. Is Dorcas Maupin ready to testify? Will you give me your address, please, Miss Maupin?

DORCAS MAUPIN

testified as follows:

MS. MAUPIN: Box 161. I'd like to say for the first five years of my life we lived up inland, and to make a long story short, I lived with my three aunts, grew up up inland.

23

24

25

When my grandfather died, we came back to Barrow. Up inland we have experienced starvation. For about four years we lived on fish alone, day in and day out. We had fish for breakfast, lunch and dinner, and that's all we ate. None of this white flour, sugar; none of it existed, but we survived. what I remember from my childhood. And we lived totally on And when we came back, every summer we would go offshore -- I mean on the coastal line for -- for the hunting with my aunts. My grandmother was alive then. Every summer after school we go hunting seal, birds, fish, till school -- I was ready to go -- just about approach -- we came back to town. most of my 17 years before I was married, that's what I experienced as an Inupiat here in Barrow. And after that, I married and moved to Seattle. While I was down there, the news came that there was an earthquake in Anchorage, and as I listened, there was a big storm here in Barrow that was something like 90 miles an hour, which was a big catastrophe, and I was far, far away from my home. The first thing that came into my mind was a food cache for at least my people, how to ta- -- and I hear the helicopters came and brought some survival food, and I was worried. It scared me. And this catastrophe can happen While I was riding my three-wheeler last summer to the Noovook, the point, I see the current, very swift. Right in the fog and rain I watched it. And there was nobody. riding by myself, just to observe, and there was an icebreaker

down there, but I could barely see it. But as I see the 1 current next to the shore, it's going something like five miles 2 an hour, but fifteen feet of this it's going different altogether. 3 It's something to see. Just what you have seen something like 4 that, it's -- that's the Mother Nature's way. And there's a 5 little thing that I was gonna add. Impacts could occur as a 6 7 result of development of alternative energy source. You talk about energy source. You don't have to go offshore for energy 8 9 source. Consider coal mine at Meade River. There's also ceal 10 mine in Wainwright that you could use for energy source. You're 11 talking about imported -- increasing dependence on oil and gas, 12 but these coals (ph) have to be considered. You can see them 13 when you walk on the shore, just on the sand, and they could 14 be useful. And also, I was talking with some of us within last 15 few weeks, how we should -- what my grandparents had taught me 16 when I was small. They have made home-made tools to catch snipes 17 when we went to feeding (ph) station. And my grandmother has 18 taught me, and I thought this is what I should teach my children 19 because one time I killed about 20 of them and I bring them 20 home. And nowadays, you hardly see the snipes. I walked the 21 point last summer. Where did they go? We used to enjoy them 22 as food sources when I was a girl. You hardly see those anymore 23 And I wanted to take my children up inland where I was raised 24 and teach them hunting, fishing, and enjoy the land that was 25 given to us from the beginning. Then they reduced the whales,

my tape.

1

limited, something like three to a village. And the population is growing, more job-hunting people. You contact with them in streets every single day, looking for places to stay. And if catastrophe occurs, then money won't mean anything to Inupiat people. Money won't buy you this and that. Then you're going to start depending on your land for food -- for food source. And the last thing I'd like to say is I'm very opposed to drilling offshore. So many people -- so many stores have been built here, but there's only one store here in Barrow that sells native. food. It's Brower's store. But others are popping up everywhere, here and there. But they won't mean anything in the That's all I have to say.

> H.O.: Thank you, Miss Maupin.

COURT REPORTER: Excuse me, I have to change

H.O.: All right. Let's stand in recess for about five minutes.

(Off record)

25

R & R COURT REPORTERS

(On record)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

MR. BROWER: Yes. Um-hum.

H.O.: All right. Do you want to give us

your address, please?

MR. BROWER: My name is Ronald H. Brower. I'm

Land Chief for Ukpeagivk Inupiat Corporation at Box 427; Barrow,

Alaska 99723.

H.O.: Mr. Ronald H. Brower?

H.O.: You're Land Chief, right?

MR. BROWER: Yes. (pause) Okay?

H.O.: Go ahead.

MR. BROWER: I thought you might have some

questions.

H.O.: No. Go ahead.

MR. BROWER: This public hearing dealing with the Diapir Field environment impact statement is, again, a step which has been the most detrimental cause to the way of life that the Inupiat people are pursuing to lead in the Arctic, not only in the Alaskan portion of the Arctic but also in Canada and in other areas. And in discussions which they have held and other people up here have held with them, there is the very strong desire that the Arctic Ocean be not disturbed in preservation of our way of life, which is a hunting-social way of life, which has other culture relationships integrated with it, such as religion, art, history and of the -- et cetera. And this has continued for many thousands of years in the Arctic without being disturbed.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The type of development which an impact statement leads to consequently is a lease sale, and the persons to whom you are leasing also operate in an international structure which also has dealings with the very same countries on -- on the other side of the oil in the Arabian nations where they are transporting oil for Europe's use and for your support, and through their manipulations, the history of it indicates that through that process there was the rise of oil which led to the development and the attempt for development of oil in the Arctic, again, since the 1920s. And in this very short time that the Arctic has been opening up for oil development has been the cause of the largest disasters that are impacting the Eskimo societies at the international level. That comes through many sources. One of the major causes is oil development in the Arctic. other causes are due to other events which occur beyond our borders up here, which are creating causes of disease which has not even been entered into your program -- in your research. And this is creating a lot of anguish amongst our people. dealing with the Diapir Field, some of this history, if you look into it, should be included in the impact statements on the impact of the social-economic culture of the people who live in the Arctic.

H.O.: I thought that the draft environmental impact statement did attempt to -- to consider those potential impacts.

3 4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

MR. BROWER: It should be noted that it is only an attempt and there has been no fact based upon it and no experience based upon it which is applicable to the area which you are so concerned with. It's the -- this is the mechanism in which disaster is occurring to the Arctic people and this is the portion of the protective measures which you have removed has increased in your attempt to lead to a lease sale through these environmental impact statements. And it's a very profound problem. I think you should research more to administer something of this nature with a proper prospective of the Arctic. Thank you.

H.O.: Any questions? (pause) Thank you, Mr. Michael Jeffrey? Brower.

MR. JEFFREY: Madam Chairman, for the record, my name is Michael I. Jeffrey. I've been a resident of Barrow for the last five years. I'm testifying tonight as an individual, some of my personal comments, although my employment is that I'm the Supervising Attorney of the Alaska Legal Services Corporation office here in town. I started the office five years ago. Along with the general civil case load that we do in our legal services office, over the last five years I've been deeply involved with the people of the North Slope as they face governmental regulations for hunting and increasing efforts of both the State and Federal Governments to sell oil leases in the offshore areas used by the Inupiat hunters and the wildlife

23

24

25

that everyone depends on. I have also been involved with the struggle of people to get protection for their subsistence hunting sites through the Native Allotment laws and that's the background I bring to this testimony. And I think it's useful in this hearing to first look a little bit at the process and it's something that we've talked about before, and that is it's awfully fast. I think it's going very fast and I think some of that is the administration in Washington. We haven't talked about that today but of course that's what's going on. There's a new lease sale schedule been proposed that's going to move this Diapir Field sale to this Fall. It was bad enough when it was talked about early next Spring. I just got that big impact statement a few weeks ago and I think most people here either haven't read it or they only saw it maybe a couple of weeks In the impact statement itself, for example, there was such a rush to get it out that we don't have the Natural Fisheries Service opinion on what's going to happen to the endangered bowhead whale. This is obviously one of the critical issues and it's an issue that needs public comment. We can't give any. We don't know. We don't know what they say. We just got to wait until later on and by then it's much more difficult to meaningfully bring the opinions of people to the government about that issue. Another issue, this has been brought out earlier today, is the fact that there is litigation involved right now. One case that I've been personally involved with is

24

25

-- is still in the State Courts about the Beaufort Sea leases -- the State and Federal leases -- and that hasn't been decided yet. We're still waiting for a decision. The Inupiat community of the Arctic Slope is claiming that the Inupiat have the title to the area beyond the three mile limit and that is still in the Courts also. In addition to that, something that was brought out in the impact statement is the fact that the five year lease plan that Secretary Andrus put out was seriously questioned by the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia and that has to be revised. And then Secretary Watt comes out with one that's even more destructive. And these things are still hanging in the air and yet this -- this whole project is going forward. Now, I think it's clear to people -many people who have read the different impact statements that the testimonies that people have given, the litigation that's gone on, has resulted in some changes. And that is that this impact statement brings out many of the issues in a much more honest and a much more clear way, certainly, than the one in 1979 did. There's no question about that. You know, right from the start we -- we are told that there are six to nine big oil spills that are probable, right there, right from the start. There is talk within the impact statement about that statistics being based on whether it's the general OCS statistics or the on-shore Prudhoe Bay statistics, and I think the portions of the impact statements which points out that use of the on-shore

23

24

25

statistics is very suspect are quite reasonable. In other words, it says that there will be drill ships, there will be exotic con- --monopode cone structures out there. It's not going to be just gravel islands involved in this lease sale if the development goes ahead. And so those statistics should not be used. It's going to be more dangerous than just as if people were on shore. And I think the suggestion that we heard earlier today that North Sea statistics and the Canadian Beaufort Sea statistics, those would be much more appropriate and I think that's true. The impact statement does bring out the comments of many of the elders of the North Slope that have been made in books and in testimonies about some of the ice events that they've seen that the scientists haven't seen, and that's -that's very useful information. Mid-winter major ice pushes in the mid-winter, that's in the impact statement. There's more to be said and I think one would hope that there's more of that in future books like this. And I think that one -- one statement in the impact -- in the book is worth quoting, which is when it says that a cautious approach to oil and gas development by industry and a progression of well designed ice hazard studies will do much to insure an orderly, safe development of our Arctic resources, which is on page eighty-three. That's, I think, what everybody's saying. The problem is, is that we don't see the cautious approach from the part of the government that's proposing these lease sales. I think that's a good

statement though. Cumulative impacts is much more well brought 1 out and I think -- than in the past. In particular, talking 2 about the Canadian tankering, which says that by 1990 we may be 3 facing one tanker a week going by this town, carrying -- carrying 4 oil to Japan. However, there -- there are many other things 5 which are brought out. And -- and bringing out the fact that 6 7 most of the tracts are in the very dangerous ice areas; bringing out the very important and very difficult and severe dis- --8 9 problems that the society is going to have. And again, I think 10 a quote is worth making, where it says, "Regionally significant 11 impacts on sociocultural systems in the form of political and 12 social unrest, conflict and dissension are probable through the projected life of the field". And also, "expected harmful 13 14 interactions made include accelerated rates of crime, alcohol, 15 drug abuse, violence, apathy, alienation, particularly in 16 Barrow". Well, of course, these kinds of things are nothing 17 special to the North Slope; it's special to the boom town kind 18 of thing and this -- this is all on page one twenty-two, by the 19 But it's very important to bring that out and it is going 20 I sing in the choir during the funerals -- there's suicides 21 that we're seeing. We're seeing -- there are people that have 22 drunk themselves to death, and it's not a happy thing to think 23 about and especially when you think of why this is happening. 24 And it's things like this that's making it happen -- these lease

sales. Now, there are some things in the impact statement that

25

23

24

25

I think could be improved. One is I don't think there's an adequate discussion of the enforcement issue. George Edwardson brought out the best available, safest technology issue. And in particular, to my knowlege, there is no BAST standard set yet for the Arctic, and so it's left kind of loose. And again, this is another argument for slowing things down. And in fact, on page one seventy-one of the impact statement it's admitted that the Arctic Operating Orders do not totally eliminate the risks of spills, nor are there feasible methods of controlling spills during the transition seasons when ice is broken, moving and generally unstable. There are -- the discussion about costal zone management. The impact statement talks about how we're not going to talk about whether this lease sale is consistent with the Alaska Costal Management Program, and of course, there is no, at the moment, in place North Slope Borough Program, but we're not going to talk about that. We'll leave that till later, until these wells are -- are being proposed with exploration plans. And I think that that discussion should be re-examined. I understand that there has been successful litigation in California which says exactly the opposite. That, in fact, it is now that this should be examined. If you know that the government action that's being proposed is going to violate local costal zone management programs, you must, at the beginning of the whole thing, look into it very seriously. impact statement doesn't talk much about an issue that some of

13

11

12

14 15

16 17

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

testimony today has covered, which is the health of the people and particularly the fact that the Native food that people are fighting to retain has been documented to be more nutritious than what you can get in the stores. Putting aside the cost and availability and everything else, it is just more nutritious. There was some very good testimony in the Kaktovik hearing in 1979 that brought that out, on the Beaufort Sea lease sale. impact statement doesn't talk very much about data gaps. It's not very clear about that, and I think the synthesis, which I was lucky enough to get a copy of, because the way I understand it it is not available, really, to the general public yet, only to the scientists, but this -- much more clearly these data gaps are brought out in here, and I think the -- the -- the impact statement will be stronger if they -- if they revealed what the scientists are saying, because the scientists are saying there are serious things that they don't know; the scientists are saying that some of the fish studies, some of the bird studies are based on one sample in one year and that's all they know, and so they'll present the data, say. Well, that kind of thing is worth bringing out in the impact statement also, so more people know about that. The impact statement doesn't talk very much about Native allotments, and yet Native allotments are a very important thing because they are the -- the effort of people to protect their subsistence hunting sites. The impact statement says, "Well, there's a few that have been -- there's a

23

24

25

few applications in the NPRA." Well, far from that. There's over a hundred applications in the NPRA and not only that, under the D-2 bill, most of those have been granted. And many of those -- I should say several of those are right near the Cape Halkett side of the lease side and will be impacted and I think that should be addressed. There is no discussion of the issue of tax law changes. Now, for example, I read an analysis a few years ago which was talking about how -- the way the -- the American tax laws are structured so that the oil companies can get big write-offs for going into frontier areas where they have to pay a lot of extra money if they're trying to develop, like with these tertiary recovery techniques. Like guaranteed oil, from a tax point of view, an accounting point of view, if you try to really get the most you can out of an existing field, it's really more expensive in -- in that way than going into new frontier areas. And it could be that if the tax law change -the tax laws were changed, it would have a drastic effect on the -- whether some of these leases really would be very attractive to the industry. And yet there's nothing about that in -- in the impact statement. I didn't see anything about conservation as a viable alternative. Now, it's probable -- it's possible that -- that the statement covers itself by saying, we refer to something else. I didn't catch that, but nevertheless I think it's an important issue that should have been at least discussed in the document. Now, even though, as I've said,

23

24

25

this book is generally better than the 1979 Beaufort Sea impact statement, it's very disturbing to see. In other words, on the one hand, we are being told more clearly of the dangers. other hand, when we're -- when we look at the seasonal restrictions -- various stipulations, all of a sudden they're weaker. In other words, we are told this is a much more dangerous area than the one that was sold in 1979, and yet suddenly the seasonal drilling restriction is compressed into merely two months instead of from March 31st to November 1st, the And it's clearly stated in the impact statment, as well it should be, it is admitted that that two month drilling restriction allows no buffer time. In other words, if the spill happened in August, it would probably still be -- be cleaned -being cleaned up when the whales are beginning to go by, and that was the whole point of the March 31st to November 1st, is not just that that particular period was dangerous. In other words, it was -- it was a wider time frame than just the dangerous ice conditions and Spring breakup and -- and Fall freezeup. It allowed a buffer so that if there was a spill on the last day, there -- that could be cleaned up before the ice got There's no discussion in the impact statement of dangerous. why, for example, in the Spring time -- how is the oil going to be cleaned up? There's no discussion about that. It's just simply dropped out, that -- that ban on drilling in that period of time. So I'm going to introduce as an exhibit something that

11

12

10

23

24

25

your office already has, which is the testimony that I filed on keeping the seasonal drilling restriction on the existing leases, and that has several attachments, articles and analyses to support that. For some reason, this impact statement drops the stipulation about a costal zone management program, and I don't quite know why, but I don't see anything in there which says, like the Beaufort Sea leases did, that they are subject, and putting the leasees on notice, that they are subject to any valid costal zone management program. Why isn't that in there? I don't see why that was taken out?

H.O.: (Simultaneous speech) -- information?

MR. JEFFREY: Huh? No. I believe it was actually in the -- in the leases. I'm not -- it's possible it's just an information though, I'm not sure. Another issue is the test structure. Now, in the Beaufort Sea leases it says that you have to have a test structure out there, and as was testified earlier today, the Borough has approved one to be built. shouldn't say "a test structure". They have allowed a structure to be built upon which they'll be making some tests. Now, that sounds like a fine point, but it's not a fine point and that's brought out in one of the reports in the synthesis, which is page one sixty-eight of the synthesis document, where they talk about so many different options, and I think there is no really justification given in -- in the Diapir Field E.I.S. of why -- what -- what makes people think that we're going to know

25

everything that people need to know about the proper kinds of structures -- drilling platforms to have in these dangerous ice conditions by the time people who get these leases are going to want to do it? I don't see why that's -- that stipulation isn't still in there for this impact statement also, and it might even be strengthening. And these options that are given by -- in this report -- this environmental hazards report that -- in the synthesis, should be examined. It may be that instead of letting an oil company simply pick an area which it finds convenient, maybe an actual test structure should be put inthere which would really -- you could run tests on the way -the way it should be done out there to be safe. A final point on this is the liability. What happens if there is an oil spill? That was an issue that was discussed in the 1979 final E.I.S. after some -- some comments from people that the draft was pretty They expanded that discussion. Here, we are merely referred to Casey's technical report, and when you read that, it's only about half a page. And I think's appropriate to put, again, as an exhibit to today's testimony, my testimony that I gave in the '79 lease sale hearing where I spend a good deal of of time pointing out that is basically a sham. That that -that spill contingency fund, which some people say is going to help people get the money back for the livelihood that they've lost, extremely complicated, extremely difficult to make any proof under that and -- and certainly does not address the needs

22

23

24

25

of Alaska coastal people. It may address the needs of commercial people in the Lower 48, I don't know. Now, after looking at the impact statement, of course, and -- you know -- it's good to step back a little bit from the whole thing because after all, we are talking about the proposal to have a lease sale on a certain date, in a certain place and this and that, and this chance that we have to talk about the impact statement is also the only chance that most of the private people have to really give their opinions about the lease sale, as such. And justfrom my personal point of view, I -- when you look at the oil spills that are being said to be probable, when you look at the social problems that are already present and will be intensified, when you look at the fact that, for example, marine mammals, it's said that there may be a major population reduction, on page one sixty-one -- things like that. I think that the alternative two, which is the no-sale alternative, is the one that makes sense. Now, what is that -- to me that means that at least for this five year lease plan for the next five years you're talking about no sale. Now, of course, when the next five year lease plan is considered, at that time, this whole issue can be re-examined. At that time there would be that much more studies done, there would be that much more operating experience on the existing leases, there -- the Borough's Coastal Zone Management Program would be in effect and it would be a much different situation. Obviously, the whole situation would have to be

looked at then and -- and see if such leasing was appropriate at that time. In closing, I'd just like to share with you something I heard some time ago from Horace Ahsogeak, who was one of the elders that testified earlier today, and he -- I was over at his house, gosh, this was probably eight months ago now. I was over -- over at his house and he -- he started to talk about a vision that he had and he said that sometimes -- and I don't recall if it was like in dreams or waking but he says it's something that keeps coming back to him. It's a vision of fires along the entire Beaufort Sea coast -- fires. And when I read -- when I read that burning is the way to clean up oil spills in many -- in most cases -- a major way to do it. And when I read an impact statement like this one which says that six to nine major oil spills are probable -- are going to happen. I keep thinking of that vision of fires burning all 15 along the Beaufort Sea coast, and when you think of the 16 devastation that that would cause to people's health and to 17 their culture and to their lives, I -- I just hope that the 18 Government stops doing it and steps back and slows down. Thank 19 20 you. 21 H.O.:

Thank you, Mr. Jeffrey. Any questions?

MR. BOUDREAU: Yeah.

H.O.: Mr. Boudreau?

MR. BOUDREAU: Mike?

MR. JEFFREY: Yes?

R & R COURT REPORTERS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

22

23

24

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

MR. BOUDREAU: Did you say you were speaking as an official representative of the Alaska Legal Services?

MR. JEFFREY: No. I -- I said that I was speaking personally.

MR. BOUDREAU: Personally?

MR. JEFFREY: I simply identified my job.

H.O.: Okay. Thank you. (pause) Annie Brower?

Annie Brower?

MS. BROWER: My name is Annie Brower and my address is Box 123, Barrow. I'm with Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope as an employee, and I would like to elaborate on a few things that have been said from earlier today up to now. don't have a written statement but I have -- I can memorize what I have said before, time after time, on -- during the hearings. Like I said before, it's getting to be like a broken record to me. I can memorize it altogether what I have said and I have never change it and will never change it, what I have been saying, because I am against this off shore drilling, the lease sales off shore. I'm all against that because for my people's sake. I've been involved in few things that are concerning my people, on their behalf. For these past few years, I've been with the North Slope Borough Planning Commission and if I have to name all the -- all that I have been involved in concerning my people, it's a long history, so I just going to elaborate on what I have heard all during this

time and on this environmental impact statement. One that really 1 helped -- that hit me is that social problems likely with this 2 and other proposed lease sales in the area could include increased 3 alcoholism, family breakup, conflict, generational conflict and 4 increasing alienation on part of Inupiat residents, particularly 5 in Barrow. It's not just in Barrow, it's all coastal-wide 6 7 because from Prudhoe Bay they're heading down toward the other 8 area of Alaska coastal places, and time after time we have made 9 these statements and I don't know what more you want to hear. 10 We just see different people and we come here with same things 11 that we have said before and I don't believe we're just about to 12 change our attitude about our way of living just to please you 13 people for these hearings. When we read the statement, especially 14 what they have gathered, a lot of times they are written in a 15 manner that -- to please other people that are not living around 16 Other things that we have said are not even documented. 17 That's one of the worst things that people with the hearings 18 that take recording and doing some interpreting in their manner 19 of how this person said it, they write them down as the documents 20 in a way that should not have been even placed in there because 21 it's altogether different meaning. And that's why we don't 22 trust anybody anymore. You people come here from the outside 23 world, you come here and start talking to us: "We'll do this 24 for you" and you turn around then you start doing things in 25 different manner, which makes it real hard for us people up here

to believe what we hear and see. And it's -- it's pretty bad for me to be speaking in this manner, but that's the truth. is what it's like around here. And furthermore, on these statements that have been said, I was born at Barter Island and was raised in Barrow and my dad's a hunter, he's a whale hunter and he's moved to the other village and now it's my --I'm next in line. So we -- my husband and I have a whaling crew and our kids are whalers too, all of them. Five -- five of our sons are whalers and I'm expecting my grandchildren to be whalers yet. So this is the very thing that we didn't want. Like, this is what you call in English -- (pause) Anyhow, this whale population have been observed for a few years and then the government thinks the whale -- the whale count is going down, but not according to our whalers it is not. From way back, we have known that some years they wait and wait for whales all along the ice shoreline, but nowadays they come up here by schools and we don't believe they did the right type of counting to make these reports but then they make them -- make the reports to the government that there are -- what word like you use for decreasing numbers?

H.O.: Diminishing?

MS. BROWER: Diminishing. And if -- if the government have a way of trying to get rid of us Inupiat people along the coastal villages, that's one thing that it's trying to do to get rid of us is trying to get rid of our forceries -- our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

23

24

25

not...

food resources because we live off the land like these people It's like a garden to us. Like right now when you go to the store, there's nothing that you can be satisfied with with food what they have in there if they have it and if you have the money. If you don't, then you just go hungry unless you go out and find your own food. Right now you can go out and get some caribou but that thing is really depleting too because of the impact from the North Slope. You go out there as some hunters see it, these people -- the outside people that come here to do their work, job seekers, they just go out there and slaughter That's no Eskimo way of doing things up here. only take care of their food source. They don't even try to over-do it or they just get enough to share with their neighbors if they have to. So this is the one way our government is trying to get rid of our people, as I see it. I read books here and there too and it really hurts me because nowadays with this thing going on, it really scares us because that's our only way of living. According to the -- the environmental -- environmental impact statement here, I read -- I glanced through it -- I glanced through it but it's -- I don't like it.

H.O.: Do you disagree with what is said?

MS. BROWER: A lot of it, what it says here is

H.O.: Not so?

MS. BROWER: Not so.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

20

21

22

23

24

25

You don't think those things will happen? MS. BROWER: Well, it's already happening, but the way it's -- the way it's written, it's not -- if I was -- if I was a good writer, I wouldn't put it this way. I would -- I would want to make sure I write the whole truth and nothing but the truth. Not make up something like that just to pass as a statement. That's what I'm saying. Because a lot of this is not right and I wouldn't even want to comply with what the rules and regulations are set for us nowadays because I read -- I read few Federal regulations here and there too because our -- where I'm working as a Federal -- Federally-funded, non-profit organization. So we -- we go have -- we have to go by Federal So on these issues there's some statements that I see here and there and even though we don't want -- we don't like this off shore drilling, I know they'll just go on ahead and do it, and to make the people -- the whole world to know about this I would -- I would like to put in records that if it comes to a time as individual, we're going to have to do something about it to get our attention and get our way of doing this to keep -- to keep it as it is. On land, there's a lot of oil. There's a lot of oil fields, but to go out there too, after doing a lot of damage to our hunting areas, they want to go out to the sea. No sale, from my side. I don't want that happen, whatsoever. it comes to a point that we want to do something, we may have to go to D.C. and spill the oil right in front of the White House

1 to get our attention, to get the press to know what we really 2 saying is what we want. It maybe come to a point like that. mean that's my personal feeling because the way I feel about my 3 4 people and our land. If somebody have to pay my fare down there, I could do it. I could get arrested, I could get the press to 5 6 make a lot of fuss about this, only to get the right people there 7 and let them know that what we say here is what we mean. 8 want it that way. So I -- I know where D.C. is, I know where 9 to locate it and it wouldn't be too hard to get there. (laughs) 10 So even if it comes to that point, that's how I feel personally 11 about this off shore drilling, and my answer is no, because of 12 my people, because of our future generation, right now along 13 the land is bad enough already but to go out to the ocean, the 14 answer is no. Thank you. 15 H.O.: Thank you, Mrs. Brower. Joash Tukle or 16 Tukle? 17 Tukle. U.V.: 18 H.O.: Tukle. 19 U.V.: Joash Tukle. 20 H.O.: Tukle. Thank you. Sorry. (pause) 21 Tukle? MS. MONGOYAK: (speaks Inupiat) 23 H.O.: Go ahead. 24 MR. TUKLE: My name is Joash Tukle. 25 H.O.: What is your address?

MR. TUKLE: Huh? 1 What is your mailing address? 2 MS. MONGOYAK: It's a post office box number. 3 MR. TUKLE: 1 -- 157. 4 H.O.: Thank you. 5 MR. TUKLE: I'm from Barrow and Nuigsut, both. 6 H.O.: And from Nuigsut? 7 (Speaks Inupiat) MR. TUKLE: Yeah. 8 MS. MONGOYAK: He first wants to know a little 9 bit about what you people are. If you're from the oil company 10 or from the land department -- Bureau of Land Management. 11 I'm going to give him a brief briefing on that in Inupiat. 12 13 (Speaks Inupiat) MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat) 14 15 When the Native people make their MS. MONGOYAK: allotments, the Inupiats said that their allotments were not 16 17 included in the -- were not -- the ocean was not included with 18 the allotment because it did not belong to the Eskimos. 19 MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat) MS. MONGOYAK: If they were going to start the 20 21 allotment deal a long time ago, why weren't the Eskimos notified 22 of this -- they were going to do the off shore drilling. 23 H.O.: Well, there've been a number of meetings and hearings with respect to proposals for off shore drilling. 24

There's been no decision to -- to issue leases in this area.

That's the purpose of -- of the environmental impact statement, is to -- to notify the Secretary of the Interior, who -- who makes that decision, what the -- the probable impacts of such a decision would be, and that's why we're here for these hearings.

I -- I guess I'm confused about the word, "allotment". Does he mean like a subsistence allotment on land?

MS. MONGOYAK: No. I -- the people had claim to their hunting areas and to their -- like Nuiqsut was one of the places where they had to put up -- stay up in tents in order to claim that land.

H.O.: Under the Claims Act?

MS. MONGOYAK: Um-hum.

H.O.: Yeah. Okay. Ask him to go on, but I'm -I'll try to follow. I don't understand the point he's trying
to make.

MS. MONGOYAK: (Speaks Inupiat)

MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)

MS. MONGOYAK: When they first made their decisions as to where their allotment could be, the white man told them that they could not make their allotments on -- around the lakes or anywhere, or the ocean, that included water.

H.O.: Okay. I just didn't -- okay.

MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)

MS. MONGOYAK: The Eskimos have not said yes to the drilling and to the off shore drilling and he, himself,

R & R COURT REPORTERS

1 does not want any drilling or any lease sale to go on. 2 (Speaks Inupiat) MR. TUKLE: MS. MONGOYAK: Looking at the map in front of 3 him that you brought, he's -- he doesn't see any islands that 4 5 they usually see, and he thinks that you didn't include all of 6 the map that was supposed to be on there. 7 MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat) 8 H.O.: Maybe on that.... 9 MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat) 10 H.O.: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. 11 MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat) 12 The next time you make maps of MS. MONGOYAK: 13 the proposed area, you should -- he's suggesting that you have 14 the whole map with you instead of just a portion of it so that 15 the people up here that know the area can see what you're really 16 talking about. This is only a portion of the map that you 17 brought, and looking at it from his viewpoint, he doesn't 18 really recognize the place. 19 H.O.: Ask him to look at the larger map that's 20 lying there on the table, which is really from Barrow to the 21 Canadian border, at least, and.... 22 (Speaks Inupiat) MS. MONGOYAK: 23 H.O.:and indicate any islands that --24 that are not shown there. 25 (Speaks Inupiat) MR. TUKLE:

R & R COURT REPORTERS

1	MS, MONGOYAK: He's saying it's for the benefit
2	of the other people that don't know the area, not not only
3	for himself but for the other people that have not travelled
4	inland or have gone around that area.
5	H.O.: Is he saying that we need to show more
6	inland or a larger
7	MS. MONGOYAK: More
8	H.O.:part of the coast?
9	MS. MONGOYAK: Larger part of the map about
10	where you're going to be doing that proposed sale, including the
11.	upper upper part and the bottom. The whole map area of
12	where you're doing your lease sale.
13	H.O.: It's it's the area in Harrison Bay,
14	right there.
15	MR. TUKLE: See, right there. (ph)
16	H.O.: Um-hum.
17	MR. TUKLE: That's supposed to be all out (ph)
18	like that, the map.
19	H.O.: Just just the area where those blocks
20	are. Now, what would be helpful to include in addition to that?
21	MS. MONGOYAK: (Speaks Inupiat)
22	U.V.: Excuse me. (Speaks Inupiat) Yeah. You
23	guys are not showing the islands on the area where you have the
24	proposed proposal. That's what he's saying. You don't
25	have any markings on the islands.

1 U.V.: In the -- in the public notice. In the press release. 2 U.V.: 3 H.O.: Oh, in the press release. On that big 4 map, are the islands there? 5 U.V.: Um-hum. 6 H.O.: All right. Okay. Thank you. (pause) 7 Okay. Thank you. 8 MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat) 9 MS. MONGOYAK: He would really appreciate it if the 10 people out in radioland are listening that know about this area 11 would come in and talk to you about the lease sale. It would 12 be a real big help to the people and to the North Slope. 13 MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat) 14 MS. MONGOYAK: By -- around Colville Island --15 Colville, there are islands that you don't show on the map and 16 there are -- are about -- there are houses that are about five 17 or six feet down that are still there, and he really wants to 18 keep that area intact. 19 MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat) 20 MS. MONGOYAK: There are more fish in the 21 Colville River than anywhere that he knows and he really doesn't 22 want any drilling done in that area. 23 MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat) 24 MS. MONGOYAK: He was born and raised in Kupig 25 River.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)

MS. MONGOYAK: His grandparents -- his parents and his grandparents have graves that are over there too. And his relatives that are buried there, is his main concern too.

MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)

MS. MONGOYAK: He says we are United States citizens too, we who are sitting here with you. We who know the ocean and how it is. We have looked at the United States and how their vegetables and gardens are being frozen and sometime in the future you people are going to come up and eat with us too.

MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)

MS. MONGOYAK: We, the Eskimos, invite people to come and eat at -- in our houses and we do not expect them to pay for what we put out, and they could come in anytime they want to.

MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)

MS. MONGOYAK: You who come to hear us and to hear our testimonies should really go over our testimonies instead of just listening to us.

H.O.: We will.

MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)

MS. MONGOYAK: He's talking to -- more talking to the Nuiqsut people. You are going to Nuiqsut tomorrow -- you people are going to Nuiqusut tomorrow and I would like the

R & R COURT REPORTERS

1 people from Nuigsut that go -- that are -- that own half of --2 some of the rigs out there to go to the meeting. MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat) 3 MS. MONGOYAK: The people who want the drilling 4 done have also bribed their own people to sign or to say that 5 they want drilling done and he also tells them that he in no 6 7 way wants these other people to bribe whoever is in for the 8 proposed sale. 9 MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat) 10 MS. MONGOYAK: He heard about the bribery done to young people and he wants to be as a spokesman for the people 11 12 of Nuiqsut. 13 (Speaks Inupiat) MR. TUKLE: 14 MS. MONGOYAK: "I have much to say about this 15 sale, but I have heard a lot of testimonies from the people here" 16 and--and they have -- the people here have already said what he 17 was going to say. 18 (Speaks Inupiat) MR. TUKLE: 19 MS. MONGOYAK: "And going back to the damages 20 that can be done to the ocean." 21 MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat) 22 MS. MONGOYAK: "The ocean of ours is not like 23 the ocean of your -- in your countries." 24 MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat) 25 MS. MONGOYAK: "It has eyes."

1	MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)
2	MS. MONGOYAK: "The current and the wind, when
3	they are together, are very strong."
4	MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)
5	MS. MONGOYAK: "They don't"
6	MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)
7	MS. MONGOYAK: "They can damage anything."
8	MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)
9	MS. MONGOYAK: "We have heard about how you can
10	clean up the oil spills if there is ever an oil spill."
11	MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)
12	MS. MONGOYAK: "There is no way for you to clean
13	up an oil spill if it ever occurred up here because of the
14	pressure ridges coming up and the wind and whatever that is that
15	turmoils the ocean out here."
16	MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)
17	MS. MONGOYAK: "The pipe that is already inside
18	the permafrost, if there was ever an oil spill, after five days
19	it will destroy all animal with without being cleaned up."
20	MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)
21	MS. MONGOYAK: "Anyway, we can say about this
22	about the oil spill: We cannot control the wind to die down if
23	even if we did" no. "We can't say we can't tell the
24	wind to die down" and he said in the future he's against the
25	proposed sale and he wants to live hand in hand with the white

1	people.
2	H.O.: Thank you.
3	MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)
4	H.O.: Thank you.
5	MS. MONGOYAK: That's all he has to say.
6	H.O.: Thank you very much. Thank you for
7	translating.
8	MS. MONGOYAK: (Speaks Inupiat)
9	H.O.: Is there anyone who wishes to testify?
10	MS. MONGOYAK: I asked if I missed some of it.
11	H.O.: Oh. I beg your pardon.
12	MS. SOLOMON: There's just one little place
13	here that I have to ask him. About about the map. I think
14	he he said that the people that knows the land and islands
15	should have opportunity to help with the map. I think it was
16	mentioned to have the people come here that knows about the
17	land
18	H.O.: We would welcome that kind of help, and
19	when when we finish, if you could talk to Mr. Emerson (ph)
20	who is seated right behind you there? Okay?
21	MS. SOLOMON: (Speaks Inupiat)
22	H.O.: Thank you. Was there there was some-
23	one else who wanted to testify.
24	MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)
25	MS SOLOMON: (Speaks Inupiat)

1	MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)
2	MS. SOLOMON: Yeah. He he wanted that so he
3	could help.
4	H.O.: Well, we welcome his help and
5	MS. SOLOMON: And let the people know where the
6	animals are in that in that map.
7	H.O.: Oh. There are lots of maps in the
8	environmental impact statement that show what he's talking about
9	MS. SOLOMON: But he wants to be included. The
10	Native people that have lived there, they want to be a be the
11	helpers to show where the the habitat of the animals are.
12	H.O.: Are you Charlie Hobson?
13	MR. MAUPIN: Jeffrey Maupin.
14	H.O.: You're Jeffrey Maupin. Oh, all right. M
15	Maupin it doesn't matter. Okay. Charlie Hobson and then Mr
16	Maupin. Okay. I just
17	MR. HOBSON: Okay. My name is Charlie Hobson.
18	H.O.:have my people mixed up.
19	MR. HOBSON: I'm a Native.
20	H.O.: And what's your address, Mr. Hobson?
21	Your mailing address.
22	MR. HOBSON: 172. I'm concerned about this that
23	I am the only Eskimo adult (indiscernible speech), 'cause I
24	spent six years in the ice. Of the nine years I had worked
25	for the Naval Arctic Research Lab you know ONR?(ph)

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

H.O.: Um-hum.

MR. HOBSON: I spent six years in the ice itself. Six years of my life, you know? And there's no way you can say that the ice is going to do this and that.

H.O.: I didn't understand you.

MR. HOBSON: You can never say what the ice is going to do....

H.O.: Um-hum.

MR. HOBSON:from day to day.

H.O.: Um-hum.

MR. HOBSON: We travel by the wind and the current movements every day. I spent nine years on the ice -- six years in the ice, total, on an ice -- on an iceberg, okay?

H.O.: Um-hum.

MR. HOBSON: There's no way you can predict what the ice is going to do, what the ice -- what the wind is going to do. I lived on an iceberg six mile by four mile for six years of the nine years that I worked for Arctic (ph) Naval Research. I have every record of that, what we did; how the island went and there's no way you can predict where it's going to go every day. One day we would move half a mile, we'd move two miles, we'd go back two miles. There's no way you can predict the ice.

H.O.: Did you experience, on that ice island, the override that other people have testified....

25

1

2

MR. HOBSON: Every day. Every day we did for the nine years I worked for Naval Arctic Research, we did. 'Cause I was on that ice island and that pressure rate you think about, our lives were in jeopardy every day. The office of Naval Research paid us every day something like eight dollars -- nine dollars and sixteen cents a day for sleeping.

H.O.: But not too soundly? (laughter)

MR. HOBSON: Not too soundly, but -- you know -that's what it is. But -- you know -- that -- that pressure
ridge was so tremendous every day and you can't put an ice
island over there at Prudhoe Bay and you can't do it.

H.O.: What about gravel islands that are built from the....

MR. HOBSON: You can't do it.

H.O.:from the land up?

MR. HOBSON: You can't do it. You can't do it. Gravel, I mean is nothing to that stuff. You are taking nine years of my life where -- nine years of my study for nothing. And you want to build a -- you know -- a ice island out there? You can't do it. I'm the -- you know -- I'm an iceologist. Before you invented those things -- you know -- 'cause I was there. I know more than you.

H.O.: I don't doubt that.

MR. HOBSON: Huh?

H.O.: I don't doubt that a bit.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HOBSON: What?

H.O.: That you know more than I do.

MR. HOBSON: I know I know more than you do.

Why you want to build it, 'cause it's no good. Why you going to build one out there?

H.O.: I don't know that there's a proposal to build an ice island. There is....

MR. HOBSON: I spent six years of my life out on an ice island studying the ice. I worked nine years for the -- you know -- for Max Brewer (ph) and those guys. Max Brewer, John Chin, (ph) all those -- you know -- people.

H.O.: Um-hum.

MR. HOBSON: And I spent six years, total, out of my life, working out on the ice, so that -- you know -- I know more than you -- you guys. And there's no way you can build or drill in that stuff out there. If you can put it in--you know -- I don't know -- you know-- I know -- I know how to write just like you guys, in the way of things. (ph) I'm an iceologist. Ask me any question and I will answer you. What's your big problem right now?

H.O.: We think that -- we'd like to know if we have the records from your experience....

MR. HOBSON: From what years? Arnold Brower, Jr. is my cousin there. He knows. He's been there too. What experience do you want? We have all the experience together.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

What kind of experience do you want to have?

MR. ARNOLD BROWER: If I may interrupt?

H.O.: Yes.

MR. ARNOLD BROWER: Charlie, what they're trying to get our opinion on the lease sale over here, what -- what the impact would be three miles from the islands, out into the ocean. And I can varify his -- his stay down at T-3 in the ice islands because I was the parachutist that took the rigs -- parachute rigs to make air drops to the ice islands for their foods and their necessities as the years progressed. So I can varify that he had been on -- as a matter of fact been on the ice island on T-3 projects and ice island projects out there.

COURT REPORTER: Could he identify himself, please?

H.O.: Oh. This is....

MR. ARNOLD BROWER: My name is Arnold Brower,

Jr. and I'm speaking to help Charlie understand what -- what the

-- the Diapir Field thing is.

MR. HOBSON: Okay. What I'm saying -- you know -- you-can't do anything out of Barrier Islands right now.
You can't....

H.O.: Beyond the Barrier Islands. I'm not that familiar with all of the migrations of T-3, although I do remember reading about it at the time.

MR. HOBSON: I've been all over the North Slope and by the North Pole and all over by the <u>drilling site</u> (ph) and

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

Canadian side with the ice island T-3 where I worked for nine years in that station. I was out on the ice, studying the ice for a total of six years.

MR. ARNOLD BROWER: I think maybe, Charlie, you might point out what projects that -- I know there was more than one project -- more than T-3. I think you probably know all the other projects.

MR. HOBSON: Are you guys in -- are you -- you guys ever heard of Project Ajax?

H.O.: I haven't, but that doesn't mean that somebody else hasn't.

MR. HOBSON: Have you -- you heard of Ajax?

(pause) This was the station that we produced with Max Brewer and the Office of Naval Research, studying the -- you know -- the currents and everything in the whole world that -- that the -- there were American people, there was the Russian people, then there were Swedish people, you know? Are you guys familiar with the Ajax Project?

H.O.: No, I'm not familiar with it.

MR. HOBSON: Well, get to your book and call the Ajax Project 'cause the Office of Naval Research got the thing in your -- in their book. Us and the Russians got it. That's where we took the project out there a hundred and twenty miles out here from the current -- where were -- where's your paperwork?

H.O.: Is there anything you can tell us, Mr.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

1 Hobson, about the Harrison Bay area, from your.... 2 MR. HOBSON: A lot. 3 H.O.:from your experience? 4 MR. HOBSON: I don't even have to look at it. 5 H.O.: Well, would you tell me what the 6 conditions there would -- would be.... 7 MR. HOBSON: Terrible. 8 Okay. Anything more specific than that? 9 MR. HOBSON: You can't do nothing in there. 10 It's terrible. 11 H.O.: Can't do anything? 12 MR. HOBSON: You can't do nothing in there. 13 1971 -- you know -- I was -- you know -- not just working for 14 Arctic Research Lab -- you know -- I had a research vessel 15 studying the silicon (ph) out of a (indiscernible speech) and 16 I just couldn't get back. I was the first mate on that thing. 17 That's when the pipeline was just starting. I was working for 18 Max Brewer. I couldn't get back in because -- you know -- there 19 was thirty, forty foot waves. And -- you know -- it's just 20 -- that thing is crucial. 21 H.O.: Because of -- of ice ridges? 22 MR. HOBSON: Same thing. And in the summertime, 23 high waves was what we were doing. 24 H.O.: Storm surges? 25 MR. HOBSON: Yes, ma'am.

H.O.: Okay. 4 MR. HOBSON: And I will not have anything --5 I studied the Arctic for nine years -- six years floating out 6 on the ice. I will not have any drilling till someone equal 7 my record staying out on that ice for six years. You can put 8 that down in your notes. Put it down in your notes. 9 Brewer, he's in Anchorage right now. 10 H.O.: I know about Max Brewer. 11 MR. HOBSON: Okay. 12 H.O.: Okay. And I have it down in my notes 13 and thank you very much. And when we break, if you'd like to 14 spend some time talking with our staff, we'd appreciate it. 15 (pause) Hi. 16 Jeffrey Maupin? 17 MR. MAUPIN: Hello. 18 H.O.: Sorry to walk (ph) you around. Do you 19 want to give me your address, please? MR. MAUPIN: Post Office Box 161. 20 21 H.O.: Barrow? 22 MR. MAUPIN: Yes. 23 H.O.: Okay. 24 MR. MAUPIN: I'm concerned about where the oil 25 companies are going to get their gravel to make their man-made R & R COURT REPORTERS

H.O.: What about the....

-- before -- you know -- for a long time.

MR. HOBSON: I did that research for -- you know

1

2

14

13

16

17

15

18

20

19

21

2223

24

25

islands. I think that in order to -- to construct these manmade islands in one hundred and thirty-one feet of water, which they mentioned here in the E.I.S. book, it's going to require a lot of gravel and there's several sources that I see that -- where the oil companies would find advantageous to get these -- this gravel for their man-made islands. There's -- there's the -- perhaps the beach. They could get the gravel from the beach. There's gravel that they could get from the sea floor itself. There's the gravel that they could get from the islands. Any -- taking gravel from any of these places to build the manmade islands would seriously effect the environment, the ocean currents and -- and -- which would destroy the populations of different types of animals living in the area.

H.O.: What kinds of things are you talking about?

MR. MAUPIN: Again, with -- well, okay. I'll start with getting the gravel from the sea floor. If they dredged the gravel from the -- from the sea floor, this will destroy the organisms in that -- in that spot where they do the dredging. The benthic organisms that live on the sea floor itself. Siltation, which occurred from the -- the dredging of the gravel, would cloud the water and for many miles around where they do the dredging, which would limit the amount of light available to the plants in -- in the water. I think that since this is -- we have -- in this ocean up here, there's a

23

24

25

simple food chain. We -- there's -- this would -- this clouding of the water and limitation of the light to the plant organisms which would result in -- in -- which would result in killing them because they couldn't get any light, would limit the monozo plankton, the -- the higher animal life in the -- in the sea because they couldn't feed upon this plankton which was dying from the siltation and clouding of the water. get the gravel from the beach, I think that this would seriously effect the currents that -- of the water, which goes along the beach. Dredging from the river basins for gravel would reduce -- would eliminate the habitat which fish stay in the winter. Staying -- they stay under the ice, in the -- in the river basin where they -- you know -- where the water -- where the river meets the ocean. And in the winter they have -- they winter there. An example of the effect that dredging sand and gravel from the beach -- one example of that effect of gravel -- dredging gravel sand from the beach is our beach out here along Barrow. Sand and gravel was dredged from this area to build the airport and since that has occurred, the ocean has been advancing two feet, at least, each year, and has been eating away at the coastline. You can see that if you go down along the beach. That -- that's a -- a -- that's an unwanted effect that might occur if the oil companies dredge along the beach there, where -- along the coastline. I might mention that another area -in this other area of the Beaufort Sea, there is a place called

"The Boulder Patch" which was a unique habitat of -- of many different types of boreal and benthic organisms; that is, organism living in the water and animals -- you know -- plants living on the sea floor, which isn't -- isn't found anywhere -hasn't been found anywhere else in the Arctic. And habitats like these should be protected. The third resource of gravel which the oil companies might use to -- to get the -- the gravels is the islands out there. These islands are some of the primary nesting areas for the many types of arctic birds. Taking gravel from this -- from these islands would eliminate that habitat and would result in less game birds for the Native people. think we should seriously consider where this gravel is going to come from and expect that wherever they get their gravel from, that it's going to effect some environment drastically. There's not that many source -- sources of gravel here in the I've mentioned three, there may be others, but these are the main three and I think that these are the most important. Thank you.

19

17

18

20

21

22

23

2425

MR. ARNOLD BROWER: Good evening. I am been listening on the air to most of what everybody has said, and I am -- I'm pretty sure that we have covered pretty much of what

anyone else who wants to testify this evening? (pause) Okay.

Thank you, Mr. Maupin.

H.O.:

that Mr. Arnold Brower would like a few more words.

After Mr. Brower, then....(pause) Welcome again.

I have a note

25

needs to be covered. I would like to emphasize that these things be taken into great consideration, especially on the subject of whether you own the land or whether we own the land -- submerged land. I think to make a sale of this kind without even having a title to it is -- is -- you know -- it's just beyond my comprehension. Just like somebody sold my property at my -- in Browerville. Although much of the things that I wanted to cover that I didn't cover have already been covered, I wanted to emphasize a little bit on your assistance to our. people. You have come -- the United States Government, State government and other agencies have come time and again to -- for our input of helping you to help the rest of the nation on this oil find, oil research, oil search. We have that problem here too. As a matter of fact, our neighbors buy a drum of oil for their stove for a hundred and sixty-three dollars a drum and that does not last a week. You go through four drums of that kind of fuel and you go through that whole nine months out of the -- out of our season that's just winter, it -- it's just too expensive. Here in Barrow, we -- we enjoy this natural gas because we -- I feel we are reluctant that it -- our Inupiat Council had asked for it from the United States Congress and we -- that it -- I feel reluctant that we received it. However, I think the Federal Government should also look into assisting the people on the high fuel costs at Nuiqsut. I think that the government should not -- should not just say that -- let them

look for their own. After all, you are digging in our backyard. 1 And -- you know -- I -- I feel that right now, as we go through 2 trying to hash out this thing, that for more oil that it's just 3 going to bypass Atkasuk, Nuiqsut, these village's yards, and 4 just go down to the Lower 48. And there is no plan in your --5 in your Diapir Field to assist the people in the North Slope. 6 Prudhoe Bay is not even assisting our people right now. Not a 7 gallon. I think that in your studies -- in your future studies, 8 your next preparation, that these kind of -- to alleviate the 9 costs -- high fuel costs of three, four dollars a gallon for fuel. 10 It's maybe up to ten -- ten dollars a gallon right now in 11 Atkasuk or Nuigsut. It's -- it's too high and it's a wonder 12 that some of the houses are left and the people come back to 13 Barrow. And -- you know -- it's -- it's something that I think 14 the -- the -- both the State and the Federal governments should 15 look in to alleviate these problems. And I really can't add 16 to what people have already said, and I just thought I'd bring 17 18 that out, because it is a crucial problem in the North Slope and you are studying up here and you are coming to us and -- and 19 I think we need to, if we're going to work hand in hand, you give 20 some to us and we give -- I don't know how much we can give, 21 22 but there's so much land not even divvied (ph) up in the North Slope prior to even going out to the Beaufort Sea or the 23 24 Chukchi Sea. And I thought I'd bring that out. Thank you for

R & R COURT REPORTERS

the opportunity.

2

give me your name?

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EDWARDSEN: I'm Mary Edwardsen. My box number is 211.

H.O.: Okay. And are you Edwardsen with an "e" or an "o"?

H.O.: Thank you, Arnold. (pause) Would you

MS. EDWARDSEN: S-e-n.

H.O.: Okay. Thank you.

MS. EDWARDSEN: You have heard almost everybody say we're against off shore drilling or off shore sale, and I just wanted to -- I appreciate what Arnold was telling you of how much the fuel cost on the North Slope area. Well, we were fortunate, like he said, where we're using natural gas and that's what we've been telling the oil companies. I'm with the Planning Commission, so that's what we've been telling the companies, that -- and they don't like it a bit if I go down to Texas or to Oklahoma and -- and -- you know -- while they're buying hundred and fifty -- hundred and eighty dollars worth of stove oil and while I'm using natural gas. They don't like it a bit. So these are the things that should be considered about and I just wanted to say that I really oppose this lease sale that you have in your in your map. That's all I have to say.

H.O.: Thank you, Mrs. Edwardsen. (pause) Mr. Don Lee Leavitt?

MR. LEAVITT: I'm going to wait till a little later on.

H.O.: Well, I don't think there's going to be
any later on.

MR. LEAVITT: Okay. (pause) Leavitt.

L-e-a-v-i-t-t. (indiscernible speech)

H.O.: Pardon me?

MR. LEAVITT: Which seat do you want me to take?

This one here?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

H.O.: Right there. Um-hum.

MR. LEAVITT: I defy -- I definitely defy the off shore lease sale. That's where all the specimens are at. (ph) (Indiscernible speech) You never can tell when it's going to go and I drive a Cat. You'd better write this all down and mean it, Ralph, Gerald, Esther and Barry. I was stuck how to hunt for my old man -- speaking of my old man, that's my father. He taught me how to hunt. That was the life we grew up with up here. That's why I defy off shore lease sales. I grew up as an Eskimo and I want to see my children grow up like the way I did. Don't that make sense? (Indiscernible speech) -- Gerald. I know -- I don't want no off shore lease That's where all the specimens flow, you know? where the priest gets his meat and the grizzly bear goes into the rivers and look what you done to the caribou. You bet you it's dropped ten -- ten percent down since you guys put that

R & R COURT REPORTERS

haul road up. I mean I'm not afraid of poor money. It only takes one bullet to get me ten day's meal. I'm a hunter, myself. My old man owns a whaling -- my old man, my brothers, we hunt whale too, and you still say we can do it? You say you won't do it. That's what we've been saying. You will not drill on the coast. You guys -- let me see you guys hook up anything on that ice and try to maintain it. It takes a lot of money, don't it? It takes a lot of money to maintain stuff like that. Money won't do us any good if we run out of self-sufficient foods that we supply for ourselves to keep our people alive. What's going to happen after all them mammals are out? That's what you guys are doing. You guys are destroying the fucking mammals -- the food that we live off of. You guys are destroying 13 14 their habitat. I should end here while I'm ahead. 15 H.O.: Thank you. 16 MR. LEAVITT: I know I'm ahead. 17 Thank you, Mr. Leavitt. H.O.: 18 MR. LEAVITT: Um-hum. 19 The gentleman that was just walking out, 20 did he want to say something more? (pause) Did you want to say 21 something more?

R & R COURT REPORTERS

MR. REXFORD: Good evening, Panel. My name is

MR. REXFORD: Yes.

H.O.: All right.

Delbert Rexford. I testified earlier.

810 "N" STREET ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501 277.0573

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

22

23

24

25

P.O. BOX 1208 FAIRBANKS, ALASKA 99707 3550 AIRPORT WAY, SUITE 250 FAIRBANKS, ALASKA 99707

H.O.: Yes. 1 MR. REXFORD: And for the -- for the non-English 2 speaking people, mostly the Elders that aren't able to comprehend 3 what I had given this morning, I'd like to have this opportunity 4 to interpret it, because there are many of our people that don't 5 6 understand English. I appreciate that, but let me just ask 7 one more question. Is there anyone else who wants to testify? 8 9 (pause) Okay. Thank you for the.... 10 MR. REXFORD: Okay. MS. ANNIE BROWER: Can we add to what we have 11 12 already said? 13 H.O.: You may -- you may certainly supplement it with written comments. Is there something else you'd like 14 15 to say? 16 I finally thought of that MS. ANNIE BROWER: 17 word. 18 What was the word? H.O.: Oh.19 MS. ANNIE BROWER: Endangered species. 20 H.O.: Endangered species. Okay. Thank you. 21 That was -- that's the word MS. ANNIE BROWER: 22 that I couldn't think of. But I had more to say on it, you 23 know?

go off the record while Mr. Rexford translates his -- his earlied

Okay.

24

25

I was going to suggest that we

U.V.: You see, it's on the radio. 3 H.O.: It'll still be on the radio, but we'll 4 iust.... 5 MR. REXFORD: Okay. 6 7 H.O.:go off the record here. Okay. This is for the benefit of MR. REXFORD: 8 9 those that can't understand English. COURT REPORTER: Shall I go off the record? = 10 11 H.O.: Yes, please. 12 (Off record) 13 (On record) 14 H.O.: And you remembered the word? 15 MS. ANNIE BROWER: Yes. I'm Annie. I wanted 16 to add to what I have said earlier. The word I was wanting to 17 say so much was endangered species. That we're talking about 18 whales as endangered species and that is very true according 19 to the-way if this lease sale is -- if -- if it has to go through. 20 In time, it'll be, I'm pretty sure about it. And we know all 21 along this -- on the map, there are some areas inside the 22 Barrier Islands that the scientists have find just recently 23 during this research going on when Prudhoe Bay came around, 24 that there are some underwater -- what do they call it?

U.V.: It's on the radio though.

A budor (ph) patch. And it flourishs during winter months.

remarks.

1

2

that's where all the animals of sea life hang around. and that's about the whale migrate in Spring and in Fall time. Especially in Fall time, heading back south. And talking of endangered species, I consider myself as an endangered species as an Inupiat because if our -- our food source is gone, there goes Inupiats along with it, and that's why I really consider I highly value that word "endangered species" -- "specie". that I would consider our Inupiat as endangered from their way of living if this thing goes through, and right now as our new president has cut out all the Federal funding and with all the hunting resources gone and what are my people left with? is why we are very endangered in both ways, you know? they won't be giving us any money -- we don't want to go under 14 Welfare to start off with. Nobody wants to unless they really have to appear. Our people are very proud people. They don't have to go under Welfare if they can help it, you know? that's one of the things that I've seen people -- other Inupiats 18 under different government, like Canadians and Greenlanders and 19 they don't have any say-so on what's to be happening within 20 their area. They're under different government, and we see 21 the hardship that they have to go through all these years, but 22 us people within the North Slope, we have not given yet to the 23 United States if the United States are going to be claiming 24 the whole land, at that time if you look in the records they 25

have only bought part of Alaska. It's what they call "P.Y.K Line"

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

and that's below our land. From there on, to that Yukon River 1 on down, they may have -- Russians may have sold that, but up 2 here we're not conquered yet, but just the same, United States 3 imposed their government on our people because in those days 4 nobody hardly ever spoke English so they take advantage of 5 them and claimed what was belonging to them. And we still 6 right now think that this land belongs to us. That's how we 7 call it our land. And we still wants to consider calling it 8 our land, but that's one thing that -- if our hunting sources 9 are gone, with all the endangered species around that area, -10 there goes our Native people too, because we can't depend on 11 white man's food. We're not used to it. We may eat some it 12 but not all the time. And nobody wants to go on the Welfare, 13 but where can we get our welfare too? The government is cutting 14 off all that source. So if you see it both ways, we're very 15 16 in an era where everything is in an endangered -- what you call (indiscernible speech) So I just want to elaborate on 17 that because the other governments we've seen, like Canadian 18 people, they opposed to it, but they don't have enough authority 19 20 as Inupiat for to get Canadian government that they just let 21 everything get by. But us, we're going to fight to the very 22 end of us. Let's put it that way. Thank you for giving me time

H.O.: Okay. Thank you, Mrs. Brower. (pause)
Yes, sir?

to add more to my statement.

23

24

1	U.V.: (Speaks Inupiat)
2	H.O.: Yes? You wanted to say something else?
3	MR. TUKLE: Yeah. I want to say something else.
4	H.O.: Okay.
5	COURT REPORTER: Who is he?
6	MR. TUKLE: Joash Tukle.
7	H.O.: Tukle.
8	MR. TUKLE: T-u-l-e-k-e.
9	COURT REPORTER: What?
10	MR. TUKLE: T-u-k-l-e.
11	H.O.: We're just about to adjourn, so
12	MR. TUKLE: Yes.
13	H.O.: Okay.
14	MR. TUKLE: Tukle. My name is Joash Tukle,
15	you know?
16	H.O.: Tukle?
17	MR. TUKLE: Yeah.
18	H.O.: Yes.
19	- MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)
20	MS. MONGOYAK: He said that the
21	MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)
22	MS. MONGOYAK: He doesn't want any lease sales
23	occurring on this because of the place where the whales migrate.
24	MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)
25	MS. MONGOYAK: The hunters that hunt from either

1	the land or the sea have given him food whenever he has wanted
2	it, when he was growing up.
3	MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)
4	MS. MONGOYAK: And the person who has had who
5	has have a lot who has a lot of money has never given him
6	any food.
7	MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)
8	MS. MONGOYAK: Just the hunter that has hunted
9	from the sea has given him something to eat.
10	MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)
ι1	MS. MONGOYAK: And because of this, he really
12	is opposing to the off shore to the off shore proposed sale.
13	H.O.: Okay. We have so recorded.
14	MR. TUKLE: Yeah. (Speaks Inupiat)
15	MS. MONGOYAK: If there weren't any hunters that
16	had lived along the coast, he wouldn't be here he wouldn't
17	be here alive to give his testimony.
18	MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)
19	MS. MONGOYAK: That's why he is opposing really
20	to the proposed sale along the ocean.
21	MR. TUKLE: (Speaks Inupiat)
22	MS. MONGOYAK: And there are other people a lot
23	like him that didn't come here to the meeting, that have the
24	same feelings as he does.
25	H.O.: And I'm sure when the panel goes to

1	Nuiqsut tomorrow, they'll hear from other people too.
2	MR. TUKLE: Yeah.
3	H.O.: Thank you very much, Mr. Tukle.
4	MR. TUKLE: Yeah.
5	H.O.: I want to thank all of the people who
6	have been very patient throughout the day, all of those that
7	have testified. I especially would like to thank Emma Mongoyak
8	for translating and Alice Solomon for translating. There are
9	no other people to be heard. This hearing is adjourned.
10	COURT REPORTER: Off the record.
11	(Off record)
12	* * *
13	(END OF PROCEEDINGS)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	-
20	-
21	
22	
23	
24	

CERTIFICATE

- 11		
2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
3	STATE OF ALASKA)	
4	I, MARGARET MILES , Notary Public in and for the	
5	State of Alaska, residing at Fairbanks, Alaska, and electronic reporter for R & R Court Reporters, do hereby certify:	
7	That the annexed and foregoing Outer Continental Shelf Hearing SUSAN MILLION was taken before we on the 2nd	ng
8	day of February , 19 82, beginning at the hour of	
10	1:30 p.m., at Presbyterian Church; Barrow, Alaska	
11	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
12	X&XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
13 14 15 16	That this hearing, as heretofore annexed, is a true and correct transcription of the testimony of said witnesses, taken by me electronically and thereafter transcribed by mme; Susan Million Susan Million & Margaret Miles That the hearing has been retained when we will an experimentally and the filings the margaret to BLMOCS; Box 1159 Anchorage, AK 99510 Frixhanks XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
17 18	That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I financially interested in this action.	
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed	
20	my seal this 6th day of February , 1982.	
21		
22	norganet Miles	
23	Notary Public in and for Alaska	
24	My Commission Expires: 8/10/85	

R & R COURT REPORTERS

P.O. BOX 1208 Fairbanks Alaska 99707